arXiv:1401.2563v1 [math.FA] 11 Jan 2014

CARLESON MEASURES AND TOEPLITZ OPERATORS FOR WEIGHTED BERGMAN SPACES ON THE UNIT BALL

JORDI PAU AND RUHAN ZHAO

ABSTRACT. Some new characterizations on Carleson measures for weighted Bergman spaces on the unit ball involving product of functions are obtained. For these we characterize bounded and compact Toeplitz operators between weighted Bergman spaces. The above results are applied to characterize bounded and compact extended Cesàro operators and pointwise multiplication operators. The results are new even in the case of the unit disk.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\mathbb{C}^n$ denote the Euclidean space of complex dimension n. For any two points $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n)$ and $w = (w_1, \dots, w_n)$ in $\mathbb{C}^n$ we write $\langle z, w\rangle = z_1\bar{w}_1 + \cdots + z_n\bar{w}_n$, and $|z| = \sqrt{\langle z, z\rangle} = \sqrt{|z_1|^2 + \cdots + |z_n|^2}$. Let $\mathbb{B}_n = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n : |z| < 1\}$ be the unit ball in $\mathbb{C}^n$. Let $H(\mathbb{B}_n)$ be the space of all holomorphic functions on the unit ball $\mathbb{B}_n$. Let dv be the normalized volume measure on $\mathbb{B}_n$ such that $v(\mathbb{B}_n) = 1$. For $0 < p < \infty$ and $-1 < \alpha < \infty$, let $L^{p,\alpha} := L^p(\mathbb{B}_n, dv_\alpha)$ denote the weighted Lebesgue spaces which contain measurable functions f on $\mathbb{B}_n$ such that

$$\|f\|_{p,\alpha} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{B}_n} |f(z)|^p \, dv_\alpha(z)\right)^{1/p} < \infty,$$

where $dv_\alpha(z) = c_\alpha(1-|z|^2)^\alpha \, dv(z)$, and c_α is the normalized constant such that $v_\alpha(\mathbb{B}_n) = 1$. We also denote by $A^p_\alpha = L^p(\mathbb{B}_n, dv_\alpha) \cap H(\mathbb{B}_n)$, the weighted Bergman space on $\mathbb{B}_n$, with the same norm as above. If $\alpha = 0$, we simply write them as $L^p(\mathbb{B}_n, dv)$ and A^p respectively and $\|f\|_p$ for the norm of f in these spaces.

Let μ be a positive Borel measure on $\mathbb{B}_n$. For $\lambda > 0$ and $\alpha > -1$ we say μ is a (λ, α)-*Bergman Carleson measure* if for any two positive numbers p and q with $q/p = \lambda$ there is a positive constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}_n} |f(z)|^q \, d\mu(z) \le C\|f\|^q_{p,\alpha}$$

for any $f \in A^p_\alpha$. We also denote by

$$\|\mu\|_{\lambda,\alpha} = \sup_{f \in A^p_\alpha, \|f\|_{p,\alpha} \le 1} \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} |f(z)|^q \, d\mu(z).$$

Key words and phrases. Carleson measures, weighted Bergman spaces, Toeplitz operators, integration operators.

This work was completed while the second named author visited the University of Barcelona in 2013. He thanks the support given by the IMUB and the SGR grant 2009SGR 420 (Generalitat de Catalunya) from which the first author is also partially supported. The first author is also supported by DGICYT grant MTM2011-27932-C02-01 (MCyT/MEC).

The concept of Carleson measures was first introduced by L. Carleson in order to study interpolating sequences and the corona problem [4, 5] on the algebra H^∞ of all bounded analytic functions on the unit disk. It quickly became a powerful tool for the study of function spaces and operators acting on them. The Bergman Carleson measures were first studied by Hastings [10], and further pursued by Oleinik [18], Luecking [13, 14], Cima-Wogen [7], and many others.

In this paper we will give new characterizations for (λ, γ)-Bergman Carleson measures and vanishing (λ, γ)-Bergman Carleson measures (defined in Section 4) on the unit ball $\mathbb{B}_n$ by using the products of functions in weighted Bergman spaces. For proving these results, we have to characterize bounded and compact Toeplitz operators between weighted Bergman spaces, which is of independent interest. Our results will be applied to study boundedness and compactness of extended Cesàro operators and pointwise multiplication operators from weighted Bergman spaces to a general family of function spaces.

Theorem 1.1. *Let μ be a positive Borel measure on $\mathbb{B}_n$. For any integer $k \geq 1$ and $i = 1, 2, ..., k$, let $0 < p_i, q_i < \infty$ and $-1 < \alpha_i < \infty$. Let*

$$\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{q_i}{p_i}; \qquad \gamma = \frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{\alpha_i q_i}{p_i}. \tag{1.1}$$

Then μ is a (λ, γ)-Bergman Carleson measure if and only if there is a constant $C > 0$ such that for any $f_i \in A^{p_i}_{\alpha_i}$, $i = 1, 2, ..., k$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \prod_{i=1}^{k} |f_i(z)|^{q_i} \, d\mu(z) \leq C \prod_{i=1}^{k} \|f_i\|^{q_i}_{p_i, \alpha_i}. \tag{1.2}$$

A similar result for Hardy spaces on the unit disk was given by the second author in [26]. Due to lack of Riesz factorization theorem for weighted Bergman spaces, the proof of the above theorem will be quite different and involved. For the proof of one implication in the case $0 < \lambda < 1$, a description of bounded Toeplitz operators between different Bergman spaces is needed, a result that can be of independent interest that we are going to state next. Given $\beta > -1$ and a positive Borel measure μ on $\mathbb{B}_n$, define the Toeplitz operator T^β_μ as follows:

$$T^\beta_\mu f(z) = \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{f(w)}{(1 - \langle z, w \rangle)^{n+1+\beta}} \, d\mu(w), \qquad z \in \mathbb{B}_n.$$

Theorem 1.2. *Let $0 < p_1, p_2 < \infty$, $-1 < \alpha_1, \alpha_2 < \infty$. Suppose that*

$$n + 1 + \beta > n \max\left(1, \frac{1}{p_i}\right) + \frac{1 + \alpha_i}{p_i}, \qquad i = 1, 2.$$

Let

$$\lambda = 1 + \frac{1}{p_1} - \frac{1}{p_2}, \quad \gamma = \frac{1}{\lambda}\left(\beta + \frac{\alpha_1}{p_1} - \frac{\alpha_2}{p_2}\right),$$

Let μ be a positive Borel measure on $\mathbb{B}_n$. Then the following statements are equivalent:

(i) *T^β_μ is bounded from $A^{p_1}_{\alpha_1}$ to $A^{p_2}_{\alpha_2}$.*
(ii) *The measure μ is a (λ, γ)-Bergman Carleson measure.*

Moreover, one has

$$\|T^\beta_\mu\|_{A^{p_1}_{\alpha_1} \to A^{p_2}_{\alpha_2}} \asymp \|\mu\|_{\lambda, \gamma}.$$

Remark: In Theorem 1.2, the condition

$$n+1+\beta > n\max\big(1,\frac{1}{p_1}\big)+\frac{1+\alpha_1}{p_1} \tag{1.3}$$

is used to prove the implication (i) implies (ii), while the condition

$$n+1+\beta > n\max\big(1,\frac{1}{p_2}\big)+\frac{1+\alpha_2}{p_2} \tag{1.4}$$

is needed to prove the other implication (ii) implies (i). Moreover, when $p_1 \geq 1$, then (1.3) reduces to $(1+\beta)p_1 > 1+\alpha_1$, and by Theorem 2.11 of Zhu's book [28], this is equivalent to the fact that P_β is a bounded projection from $L^{p_1}(\mathbb{B}_n, dv_{\alpha_1})$ onto $A^{p_1}_{\alpha_1}$. Here, the projection P_β is defined as

$$P_\beta f(z) = \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{f(w)\,dv_\beta(w)}{(1-\langle z,w\rangle)^{n+1+\beta}}.$$

In a similar way, when $p_2 \geq 1$, the condition (1.4) is equivalent to the fact that P_β is a bounded projection from $L^{p_2}(\mathbb{B}_n, dv_{\alpha_2})$ onto $A^{p_2}_{\alpha_2}$.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we recall some notations and preliminary results which will be used later. Section 3 is devoted to the proofs of our main results, Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2. In Section 4 we give similar characterizations for vanishing (λ,γ)-Bergman Carleson measures. In Section 5 we apply Theorem 1.1 to characterize bounded extended Cesàro operators and pointwise multiplication operators from weighted Bergman spaces into a general family of function spaces.

In the following, the notation $A \lesssim B$ means that there is a positive constant C such that $A \leq CB$, and the notation $A \asymp B$ means that both $A \lesssim B$ and $B \lesssim A$ hold.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section we introduce some notations and recall some well known results that will be used throughout the paper.

For any $a \in \mathbb{B}_n$ with $a \neq 0$, we denote by $\varphi_a(z)$ the Möbius transformation on $\mathbb{B}_n$ that interchanges the points 0 and a. It is known that φ_a satisfies the following properties: $\varphi_a \circ \varphi_a(z) = z$, and

$$1-|\varphi_a(z)|^2 = \frac{(1-|a|^2)(1-|z|^2)}{|1-\langle z,a\rangle|^2}, \qquad a,z \in \mathbb{B}_n. \tag{2.1}$$

For $z, w \in \mathbb{B}_n$, the *pseudo-hyperbolic distance* between z and w is defined by

$$\rho(z,w) = |\varphi_z(w)|,$$

and the *hyperbolic distance* on $\mathbb{B}_n$ between z and w induced by the Bergman metric is given by

$$\beta(z,w) = \tanh\,\rho(z,w) = \frac{1}{2}\log\frac{1+|\varphi_z(w)|}{1-|\varphi_z(w)|}.$$

For $z \in \mathbb{B}_n$ and $r > 0$, the *Bergman metric ball* at z is given by

$$D(z,r) = \big\{w \in \mathbb{B}_n :\, \beta(z,w) < r\big\}.$$

It is known that, for a fixed $r > 0$, the weighted volume

$$v_\alpha(D(z,r)) \asymp (1-|z|^2)^{n+1+\alpha}.$$

We refer to [28] for the above facts.

We cite two results for Bergman Carleson measures which justify the fact that a Bergman Carleson measure depends only on α and the ratio $\lambda = q/p$. The first result was obtained by several authors and can be found, for example, in [27, Theorem 50] and the references there.

Theorem A. *For a positive Borel measure μ on $\mathbb{B}_n$, $0 < p \le q < \infty$, and $-1 < \alpha < \infty$, the following statements are equivalent:*

(i) *There is a constant $C_1 > 0$ such that for any $f \in A^p_\alpha$*

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}_n} |f(z)|^q \, d\mu(z) \le C_1 \|f\|^q_{p,\alpha}.$$

(ii) *There is a constant $C_2 > 0$ such that, for any real number r with $0 < r < 1$ and any $z \in \mathbb{B}_n$,*

$$\mu(D(z,r)) \le C_2 (1 - |z|^2)^{(n+1+\alpha)q/p}.$$

(iii) *There is a constant $C_3 > 0$ such that, for some (every) $t > 0$,*

$$\sup_{a \in \mathbb{B}_n} \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{(1-|a|^2)^t}{|1 - \langle z, a\rangle|^{[(n+1+\alpha)q/p]+t}} \, d\mu(z) \le C_3.$$

Furthermore, the constants C_1, C_2 and C_3 are all comparable to $\|\mu\|_{\lambda,\alpha}$ with $\lambda = q/p$.

Remark. Let $\lambda = q/p$. Then the above result states that a positive Borel measure μ on $\mathbb{B}_n$ is a (λ, α)-Bergman Carleson measure if and only if

$$\sup_{s \in \mathbb{B}_n} \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{(1-|a|^2)^t}{|1 - \langle z, a\rangle|^{(n+1+\alpha)\lambda + t}} \, d\mu(z) < \infty.$$

for some (every) $t > 0$.

For the case $0 < q < p < \infty$, we need a well-known result on decomposition of the unit ball $\mathbb{B}_n$. A sequence $\{a_k\}$ of points in $\mathbb{B}_n$ is called a *separated sequence* (in the Bergman metric) if there exists a positive constant $\delta > 0$ such that $\beta(z_i, z_j) > \delta$ for any $i \ne j$. The following result is Theorem 2.23 in [28].

Lemma A. *There exists a positive integer N such that for any $0 < r < 1$ we can find a sequence $\{a_k\}$ in $\mathbb{B}_n$ with the following properties:*

(i) $\mathbb{B}_n = \cup_k D(a_k, r)$.
(ii) *The sets $D(a_k, r/4)$ are mutually disjoint.*
(iii) *Each point $z \in \mathbb{B}_n$ belongs to at most N of the sets $D(a_k, 4r)$.*

Any sequence $\{a_k\}$ satisfying the conditions of the above lemma is called a *lattice* (or an r-$lattice$ if one wants to stress the dependence on r) in the Bergman metric. Obviously any r-lattice is separated. For convenience, we will denote by $D_k = D(a_k, r)$ and $\tilde{D}_k = D(a_k, 4r)$. Then Lemma A says that $\mathbb{B}_n = \cup_{k=1}^\infty D_k$ and there is an positive integer N such that every point z in $\mathbb{B}_n$ belongs to at most N of sets $\tilde{D}_k$.

The following result is essentially due to D. Luecking ([16] and [17]), for the case $\alpha = 0$ (note that the discrete form (iii) is actually given in Luecking's proof). For $-1 < \alpha < \infty$, the result can be similarly proved as in [17]. The condition in part (iv) first appeared in [6] (see also [27, Theorem 54]), where it was used for the embedding of harmonic Bergman spaces into Lebesgue spaces.

Theorem B. *For a positive Borel measure μ on $\mathbb{B}_n$, $0 < q < p < \infty$ and $-1 < \alpha < \infty$, the following statements are equivalent:*

(i) *There is a constant $C_1 > 0$ such that for any $f \in A^p_\alpha$*

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}_n} |f(z)|^q \, d\mu(z) \le C_1 \|f\|^q_{p,\alpha}.$$

(ii) *The function*

$$\widehat{\mu}_r(z) := \frac{\mu(D(z,r))}{(1-|z|^2)^{n+1+\alpha}}$$

is in $L^{p/(p-q),\alpha}$ for any (some) fixed $r \in (0,1)$.

(iii) *For any r-lattice $\{a_k\}$ and D_k as in Lemma A, the sequence*

$$\{\mu_k\} := \left\{ \frac{\mu(D_k)}{(1-|a_k|^2)^{(n+1+\alpha)\frac{q}{p}}} \right\}$$

belongs to $\ell^{p/(p-q)}$ for any (some) fixed $r \in (0,1)$.

(iv) *For any $s > 0$, the Berezin-type transform $B_{s,\alpha}(\mu)$ belongs to $L^{p/(p-q),\alpha}$.*

Furthermore, with $\lambda = q/p$, one has

$$\|\widehat{\mu}_r\|_{\frac{p}{p-q},\alpha} \asymp \|\{\mu_k\}\|_{\ell^{p/(p-q)}} \asymp \|B_{s,\alpha}(\mu)\|_{\frac{p}{p-q},\alpha} \asymp \|\mu\|_{\lambda,\alpha}.$$

Here, for a positive measure ν, the Berezin-type transform $B_{s,\alpha}(\nu)$ is

$$B_{s,\alpha}(\nu)(z) = \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{(1-|z|^2)^s}{|1-\langle z,w\rangle|^{n+1+s+\alpha}} \, d\nu(w).$$

As a consequence of the previous stated result, for $0 < \lambda < 1$, a positive Borel measure μ on $\mathbb{B}_n$ is a (λ,α)-Bergman Carleson measure if and only if

$$\mu(D(z,r))(1-|z|^2)^{-n-1-\alpha} \in L^{1/(1-\lambda),\alpha},$$

or

$$\{\mu(D_k)(1-|a_k|^2)^{-(n+1+\alpha)\lambda}\} \in \ell^{1/(1-\lambda)}$$

for any (some) fixed $r \in (0,1)$.

The following integral estimate (see [28, Theorem 1.12]) has become indispensable in this area of analysis, and will be used several times in this paper.

Lemma B. *Suppose $z \in \mathbb{B}_n$, $c > 0$ and $t > -1$. The integral*

$$I_{c,t}(z) = \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{(1-|w|^2)^t}{|1-\langle z,w\rangle|^{n+1+t+c}} \, dv(w)$$

is comparable to $(1-|z|^2)^{-c}$.

We also need a well known variant of the previous lemma.

Lemma C. *Let $\{z_k\}$ be a separated sequence in $\mathbb{B}_n$, and let $n < t < s$. Then*

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1-|z_k|^2)^t}{|1-\langle z,z_k\rangle|^s} \le C\,(1-|z|^2)^{t-s}, \qquad z \in \mathbb{B}_n.$$

Lemma C can be deduced from Lemma B after noticing that, if a sequence $\{z_k\}$ is separated, then there is a constant $r > 0$ such that the Bergman metric balls $D(z_k, r)$ are pairwise disjoints. With all these preparations now we are ready to prove the main results.

3. PROOFS

We need first the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $-1 < \alpha < \infty$. For $i = 1, 2, \ldots, k$, let $0 < p_i, q_i < \infty$, and let $f_i \in A^{p_i/q_i}_{\alpha_i}$. Let*

$$\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{q_i}{p_i}; \qquad \gamma = \frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{\alpha_i q_i}{p_i}.$$

Then $\prod_{i=1}^{k} f_i \in A^{1/\lambda}_{\gamma}$, and

$$\left\| \prod_{i=1}^{k} f_i \right\|_{1/\lambda,\gamma} \lesssim \prod_{i=1}^{k} \|f_i\|_{p_i/q_i,\alpha_i}.$$

Proof. Let $f_i \in A^{p_i/q_i}_{\alpha_i}$ $(i = 1, 2, \ldots, k)$. Since $p_i\lambda/q_i > 1$ for any $i = 1, 2, \ldots, k$, we can apply Hölder's inequality to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\| \prod_{i=1}^{k} f_i \right\|_{1/\lambda,\gamma} &= \left(c_\gamma \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \prod_{i=1}^{k} |f_i(z)|^{1/\lambda} (1-|z|^2)^\gamma \, dv(z) \right)^{\lambda} \\
&\lesssim \prod_{i=1}^{k} \left(\int_{\mathbb{B}_n} |f_i(z)|^{\frac{1}{\lambda}\frac{p_i\lambda}{q_i}} (1-|z|^2)^{\frac{q_i\alpha_i}{p_i\lambda}\frac{p_i\lambda}{q_i}} \, dv(z) \right)^{\frac{q_i}{p_i}} \\
&= \prod_{i=1}^{k} \left(\int_{\mathbb{B}_n} |f_i(z)|^{\frac{p_i}{q_i}} (1-|z|^2)^{\alpha_i} \, dv(z) \right)^{\frac{q_i}{p_i}} \\
&\lesssim \prod_{i=1}^{k} \|f_i\|_{p_i/q_i,\alpha_i}.
\end{aligned}$$

The result is proved. □

Proposition 3.2. *Let μ be a positive Borel measure on $\mathbb{B}_n$. For any integer $k \geq 1$ and $i = 1, 2, \ldots, k$, let $0 < p_i, q_i < \infty$ and $-1 < \alpha_i < \infty$, and let λ and γ be as in* (1.1)*. If μ is a (λ, γ)-Bergman Carleson measure then* (1.2) *holds.*

Proof. If $k = 1$ then the result is just the definition. Let us now assume $k \geq 2$. Let $h_i \in A^{p_i/q_i,\alpha_i}$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, k$. By Lemma 3.1, $\prod_{i=1}^{k} h_i \in A^{1/\lambda}_{\gamma}$, and

$$\left\| \prod_{i=1}^{k} h_i \right\|_{1/\lambda,\gamma} \lesssim \prod_{i=1}^{k} \|h_i\|_{p_i/q_i,\alpha_i}.$$

Since μ is a (λ, γ)-Bergman Carleson measure,

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \left| \prod_{i=1}^{k} h_i(z) \right| d\mu(z) \leq C \left\| \prod_{i=1}^{k} h_i \right\|_{1/\lambda,\gamma} \leq C \prod_{i=1}^{k} \|h_i\|_{p_i/q_i,\alpha_i}. \tag{3.1}$$

Let

$$d\mu_1 = \left(\prod_{i=2}^{k} |h_i| \, d\mu \right) \Big/ \left(\prod_{i=2}^{k} \|h_i\|_{p_i/q_i,\alpha_i} \right).$$

Then (3.1) is equivalent to

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}_n} |h_1(z)|\, d\mu_1(z) \le C\|h_1\|_{p_1/q_1,\alpha_1}.$$

Thus μ_1 is a $(q_1/p_1,\alpha_1)$-Bergman Carleson measure. Thus for any $f_1 \in A^{p_1}_{\alpha_1}$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}_n} |f_1(z)|^{q_1}\, d\mu_1(z) \le C\|f_1\|^{q_1}_{p_1,\alpha_1},$$

which is the same as

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}_n} |f_1(z)|^{q_1} \prod_{i=2}^{k} |h_i(z)|\, d\mu(z) \le C\|f_1\|^{q_1}_{p_1,\alpha_1} \prod_{i=2}^{k} \|h_i\|_{p_i/q_i,\alpha_i}. \tag{3.2}$$

Let

$$d\mu_2 = \left(|f_1|^{q_1} \prod_{i=3}^{k} |h_i|\, d\mu\right) \Big/ \left(\|f_1\|^{q_1}_{p_1,\alpha_1} \prod_{i=3}^{k} \|h_i\|_{p_i/q_i,\alpha_i}\right).$$

Then (3.2) is the same as

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}_n} |h_2(z)|\, d\mu_2(z) \le C\|h_2\|_{p_2/q_2,\alpha_2}.$$

Thus μ_2 is a $(q_2/p_2,\alpha_2)$-Bergman Carleson measure. Thus for any $f_2 \in A^{p_2}_{\alpha_2}$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}_n} |f_2(z)|^{q_2}\, d\mu_2(z) \le C\|f_2\|^{q_2}_{p_2,\alpha_2},$$

or

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}_n} |f_1(z)|^{q_1}|f_2(z)|^{q_2} \prod_{i=3}^{k} |h_i(z)|\, d\mu(z) \le C\|f_1\|^{q_1}_{p_1,\alpha_1}\|f_2\|^{q_2}_{p_2,\alpha_2} \prod_{i=3}^{k} \|h_i\|_{p_i/q_i,\alpha_i}.$$

Continuing this process we will eventually get (1.2). □

3.1. **Proof of Theorem 1.2.**

3.1.1. ***(i) implies (ii)***. We divide this part into two cases: $\lambda \ge 1$ and $0 < \lambda < 1$.

Case 1: $\lambda \ge 1$. Fix $a \in \mathbb{B}_n$ and let $f_a(z) = (1-\langle z,a\rangle)^{-(n+1+\beta)}$. Under the condition $(n+1+\beta)p_1 > n+1+\alpha_1$, it is easy to check using Lemma B that $f_a \in A^{p_1}_{\alpha_1}$ with

$$\|f_a\|^{p_1}_{p_1,\alpha_1} \lesssim (1-|a|^2)^{(n+1+\alpha_1)-(n+1+\beta)p_1}.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} T^\beta_\mu f_a(z) &= \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{f_a(w)}{(1-\langle z,w\rangle)^{n+1+\beta}}\, d\mu(w) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{d\mu(w)}{(1-\langle z,w\rangle)^{n+1+\beta}\cdot(1-\langle w,a\rangle)^{n+1+\beta}}, \end{aligned}$$

we get

$$T^\beta_\mu f_z(z) = \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{d\mu(w)}{|1-\langle z,w\rangle|^{2(n+1+\beta)}} \ge C\frac{\mu(D(z,r))}{(1-|z|^2)^{2(n+1+\beta)}}.$$

On the other hand, by the pointwise estimate for functions in Bergman spaces (see [28, Theorem 2.1]) together with the boundedness of the Toeplitz operator T^β_μ, we get

$$\begin{aligned} T^\beta_\mu f_z(z) &= |T^\beta_\mu f_z(z)| \le \|T^\beta_\mu f_z\|_{p_2,\alpha_2}(1-|z|^2)^{-(n+1+\alpha_2)/p_2} \\ &\le \|T^\beta_\mu\| \cdot \|f_z\|_{p_1,\alpha_1}(1-|z|^2)^{-(n+1+\alpha_2)/p_2} \\ &\lesssim \|T^\beta_\mu\|\,(1-|z|^2)^{(n+1+\alpha_1)/p_1-(n+1+\alpha_2)/p_2-(n+1+\beta)}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(D(z,r)) &\lesssim \|T^\beta_\mu\|\,(1-|z|^2)^{(n+1+\beta)+(n+1+\alpha_1)/p_1-(n+1+\alpha_2)/p_2} \\ &= \|T^\beta_\mu\|\,(1-|z|^2)^{(n+1+\gamma)\lambda}. \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem A, this means that μ is a (λ,γ)-Bergman Carleson measure with

$$\|\mu\|_{\lambda,\gamma} \lesssim \|T^\beta_\mu\|.$$

Case 2: $0<\lambda<1$**.** Notice that the condition $0<\lambda<1$ is equivalent to $0<p_2<p_1<\infty$. Let $r_k(t)$ be a sequence of Rademacher functions (see [9, Appendix A]) and $\{a_k\}$ be any r-lattice on $\mathbb{B}_n$. Since

$$n+1+\beta > n\max(1,1/p_1)+(1+\alpha_1)/p_1,$$

we know from Theorem 2.30 in [28] that, for any sequence of real numbers $\{\lambda_k\}\in\ell^{p_1}$, the function

$$f_t(z)=\sum_{k=1}^\infty \lambda_k r_k(t)\frac{(1-|a_k|^2)^{n+1+\beta-(n+1+\alpha_1)/p_1}}{(1-\langle z,a_k\rangle)^{n+1+\beta}}$$

is in $A^{p_1}_{\alpha_1}$ with $\|f_t\|_{p_1,\alpha_1}\lesssim \big\|\{\lambda_k\}\big\|_{\ell^{p_1}}$ for almost every t in $(0,1)$. Denote by

$$f_k(z)=\frac{(1-|a_k|^2)^{n+1+\beta-(n+1+\alpha_1)/p_1}}{(1-\langle z,a_k\rangle)^{n+1+\beta}}.$$

Since T^β_μ is bounded from $A^{p_1}_{\alpha_1}$ to $A^{p_2}_{\alpha_2}$ then, for almost every t in $(0,1)$, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \|T^\beta_\mu f_t\|^{p_2}_{p_2,\alpha_2} &= \int_{\mathbb{B}_n}\left|\sum_{k=1}^\infty \lambda_k\, r_k(t)\, T^\beta_\mu f_k(z)\right|^{p_2} dv_{\alpha_2}(z) \\ &\lesssim \|T^\beta_\mu\|^{p_2}\cdot\|f_t\|^{p_2}_{p_1,\alpha_1}\lesssim \|T^\beta_\mu\|^{p_2}\left(\sum_{k=1}^\infty|\lambda_k|^{p_1}\right)^{p_2/p_1}. \end{aligned}$$

Integrating both sides with respect to t from 0 to 1, and using Fubini's Theorem and Khinchine's inequality (see [9, Theorem A.2]), we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}_n}\left(\sum_{k=1}^\infty|\lambda_k|^2|T^\beta_\mu f_k(z)|^2\right)^{p_2/2} dv_{\alpha_2}(z)\lesssim\|T^\beta_\mu\|^{p_2}\cdot\big\|\{\lambda_k\}\big\|^{p_2}_{\ell^{p_1}}. \tag{3.3}$$

Let $\{D_k\}$ be the associated sets to the lattice $\{a_k\}$ in Lemma A. Then

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{k=1}^\infty|\lambda_k|^{p_2}\int_{\tilde D_k}|T^\beta_\mu f_k(z)|^{p_2}\,dv_{\alpha_2}(z) \\ &\qquad=\int_{\mathbb{B}_n}\left(\sum_{k=1}^\infty|\lambda_k|^{p_2}|T^\beta_\mu f_k(z)|^{p_2}\chi_{\tilde D_k}(z)\right)^{\frac{2}{p_2}\cdot\frac{p_2}{2}} dv_{\alpha_2}(z). \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

If $p_2 \le 1$, then $2/p_2 \le 1$, and from (3.4) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_k|^{p_2} \int_{\tilde{D}_k} |T_\mu^\beta f_k(z)|^{p_2}\, dv_{\alpha_2}(z) \\
&\qquad \le \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_k|^2 |T_\mu^\beta f_k(z)|^2 \chi_{\tilde{D}_k}(z) \right)^{p_2/2} dv_{\alpha_2}(z) \\
&\qquad \le \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_k|^2 |T_\mu^\beta f_k(z)|^2 \right)^{p_2/2} dv_{\alpha_2}(z).
\end{aligned}$$

If $0 < p_2 < 1$, then $2/p_2 > 1$. Thus, from (3.4) and Hölder's inequality we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_k|^{p_2} \int_{\tilde{D}_k} |T_\mu^\beta f_k(z)|^{p_2}\, dv_{\alpha_2}(z) \\
&\qquad \le \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_k|^2 |T_\mu^\beta f_k(z)|^2 \chi_{\tilde{D}_k(z)} \right)^{p_2/2} \left(\sum_k \chi_{\tilde{D}_k}(z) \right)^{1-p_2/2} dv_{\alpha_2}(z) \\
&\qquad \le N^{1-p_2/2} \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_k|^2 |T_\mu^\beta f_k(z)|^2 \right)^{p_2/2} dv_{\alpha_2}(z),
\end{aligned}$$

since any point z belongs to at most N of the sets $\tilde{D}_k$. Combining the above two inequalities, and applying (3.3) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_k|^{p_2} \int_{\tilde{D}_k} |T_\mu^\beta f_k(z)|^{p_2}\, dv_{\alpha_2}(z) \\
&\qquad \le \min\{1, N^{1-p_2/2}\} \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_k|^2 |T_\mu^\beta f_k(z)|^2 \right)^{p_2/2} dv_{\alpha_2}(z) \\
&\qquad \lesssim \|T_\mu^\beta\|^{p_2} \cdot \big\|\{\lambda_k\}\big\|_{\ell^{p_1}}^{p_2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since, by subharmonicity (see [28, Lemma 2.24]), we have

$$|T_\mu^\beta f_k(a_k)|^{p_2} \lesssim \frac{1}{(1-|a_k|^2)^{n+1+\alpha_2}} \int_{\tilde{D}_k} |T_\mu^\beta f_k(z)|^{p_2}\, dv_{\alpha_2}(z),$$

we get

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_k|^{p_2} (1-|a_k|^2)^{n+1+\alpha_2} |T_\mu^\beta f_k(a_k)|^{p_2} \lesssim \|T_\mu^\beta\|^{p_2} \cdot \big\|\{\lambda_k\}\big\|_{\ell^{p_1}}^{p_2}. \tag{3.5}$$

Now, notice that

$$T_\mu^\beta f_k(a_k) = (1-|a_k|^2)^{n+1+\beta-(n+1+\alpha_1)/p_1} \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{d\mu(w)}{|1-\langle w, a_k\rangle|^{2(n+1+\beta)}}.$$

Therefore

$$\frac{\mu(D_k)}{(1-|a_k|^2)^{n+1+\beta+(n+1+\alpha_1)/p_1}} \lesssim T_\mu^\beta f_k(a_k),$$

and putting this into (3.5) we get

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_k|^{p_2} \left(\frac{\mu(D_k)}{(1-|a_k|^2)^s} \right)^{p_2} \lesssim \|T_\mu^\beta\|^{p_2} \cdot \big\|\{\lambda_k\}\big\|_{\ell^{p_1}}^{p_2},$$

with

$$s = n + 1 + \beta + \frac{(n+1+\alpha_1)}{p_1} - \frac{(n+1+\alpha_2)}{p_2} = (n+1+\gamma)\lambda. \tag{3.6}$$

Since the conjugate exponent of (p_1/p_2) is $(p_1/p_2)' = p_1/(p_1-p_2)$, by duality, we know that

$$\{\nu_k\} := \left\{ \left(\frac{\mu(D_k)}{(1-|a_k|^2)^s} \right)^{p_2} \right\} \in \ell^{p_1/(p_1-p_2)}$$

with

$$\big\|\{\nu_k\}\big\|_{\ell^{p_1/(p_1-p_2)}} \lesssim \|T_\mu^\beta\|^{p_2},$$

or,

$$\{\mu_k\} := \left\{ \frac{\mu(D_k)}{(1-|a_k|^2)^{(n+1+\gamma)\lambda}} \right\} \in \ell^{p_1p_2/(p_1-p_2)} = \ell^{1/(1-\lambda)}$$

with

$$\big\|\{\mu_k\}\big\|_{\ell^{1/(1-\lambda)}} = \big\|\{\nu_k\}\big\|^{1/p_2}_{\ell^{p_1/(p_1-p_2)}} \lesssim \|T_\mu^\beta\|.$$

By Theorem B, this means that μ is an (λ,γ)-Bergman Carleson measure with

$$\|\mu\|_{\lambda,\gamma} \lesssim \|T_\mu^\beta\|.$$

3.1.2. ***(ii) implies (i)***. Now suppose (ii) holds, i.e., μ is a (λ,γ)-Bergman Carleson measure, we prove (i). We divide the proof into three cases.

Case 1: $p_2 > 1$**.** For this case, let p_2' and α_2' be two numbers satisfying

$$\frac{1}{p_2} + \frac{1}{p_2'} = 1; \qquad \frac{\alpha_2}{p_2} + \frac{\alpha_2'}{p_2'} = \beta. \tag{3.7}$$

Then

$$\alpha_2' = \left(\beta - \frac{\alpha_2}{p_2}\right) p_2' = \frac{\beta p_2 - \alpha_2}{p_2 - 1} > -1$$

since $\beta > (1+\alpha_2)/p_2 - 1$. By a duality result due to Luecking (see [15] or [28, Theorem 2.12]), we know that $(A^{p_2}_{\alpha_2})^* = A^{p_2'}_{\alpha_2'}$ under the integral pairing

$$\langle f, g\rangle_\beta = \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} f(z)\,\overline{g(z)}\,dv_\beta(z).$$

Let $f \in A^{p_1}_{\alpha_1}$ and $h \in A^{p_2'}_{\alpha_2'}$. An easy computation using Fubini's Theorem and the reproducing formula for Bergman spaces shows

$$\langle h, T_\mu^\beta f\rangle_\beta = \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} h(z)\,\overline{f(z)}\,d\mu(z).$$

The conditions for λ and γ in the Theorem are equivalent to

$$\lambda = \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2'}, \qquad \gamma = \frac{1}{\lambda}\left(\frac{\alpha_1}{p_1} + \frac{\alpha_2'}{p_2'}\right).$$

Thus by Proposition 3.2,

$$|\langle h, T_\mu^\beta f\rangle_\beta| \le \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} |h(z)|\,|f(z)|\,d\mu(z) \lesssim \|\mu\|_{\lambda,\gamma} \cdot \|f\|_{p_1,\alpha_1} \cdot \|h\|_{p_2',\alpha_2'}.$$

Hence T_μ^β is bounded from $A^{p_1}_{\alpha_1}$ to $A^{p_2}_{\alpha_2}$ with $\|T_\mu^\beta\| \lesssim \|\mu\|_{\lambda,\gamma}$.

Case 2: $p_2 = 1$**.** Let $f \in A^{p_1}_{\alpha_1}$. For this case, since $\beta > (1+\alpha_2)/1 - 1 = \alpha_2$, by Fubini's Theorem and Lemma B we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|T^\beta_\mu f\|_{1,\alpha_2} &\leq \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \left(\int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{|f(w)|}{|1-\langle z,w\rangle|^{n+1+\beta}}\, d\mu(w) \right) dv_{\alpha_2}(z) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} |f(w)| \left(\int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{(1-|z|^2)^{\alpha_2}}{|1-\langle z,w\rangle|^{n+1+\beta}}\, dv(z) \right) d\mu(w) \\ &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} |f(w)|(1-|w|^2)^{\alpha_2-\beta}\, d\mu(w). \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

Let ν be the measure defined by $d\nu(w) = (1-|w|^2)^{\alpha_2-\beta}\, d\mu(w)$. Since μ is a (λ,γ)-Bergman Carleson measure, using Theorems A and B it is easy to see that ν is a $(1/p_1, \alpha_1)$-Bergman Carleson measure, and moreover, $\|\nu\|_{1/p_1,\alpha_1} \lesssim \|\mu\|_{\lambda,\gamma}$. Thus, for any $f \in A^{p_1}_{\alpha_1}$, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}_n} |f(w)|\, d\nu(w) \lesssim \|\nu\|_{1/p_1,\alpha_1} \cdot \|f\|_{p_1,\alpha_1} \lesssim \|\mu\|_{\lambda,\gamma} \cdot \|f\|_{p_1,\alpha_1}.$$

Thus, by (3.8), it follows that

$$\|T^\beta_\mu f\|_{1,\alpha_2} \lesssim \|\mu\|_{\lambda,\gamma} \cdot \|f\|_{p_1,\alpha_1},$$

and so T^β_μ is bounded from $A^{p_1}_{\alpha_1}$ to $A^{p_2}_{\alpha_2}$ with $\|T^\beta_\mu\| \lesssim \|\mu\|_{\lambda,\gamma}$.

Case 3: $0 < p_2 < 1$**.** Let $\{a_k\}$ be an r-lattice of $\mathbb{B}_n$ in the Bergman metric and $\{D_k\}$ be the corresponding sets as in Lemma A. Then we know that $\mathbb{B}_n = \cup_{k=1}^\infty D_k$ and there is a positive integer N such that each point in $\mathbb{B}_n$ belongs to at most N of sets $\tilde{D}_k$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} |T^\beta_\mu f(z)| &\lesssim \sum_{k=1}^\infty \int_{D_k} \frac{|f(w)|}{|1-\langle z,w\rangle|^{n+1+\beta}}\, d\mu(w) \\ &\lesssim \sum_{k=1}^\infty \frac{1}{|1-\langle z,a_k\rangle|^{n+1+\beta}} \int_{D_k} |f(w)|\, d\mu(w). \end{aligned}$$

Now, for $w \in D_k$, one has

$$|f(w)|^{p_1} \lesssim \frac{1}{(1-|a_k|^2)^{n+1+\alpha_1}} \int_{\tilde{D}_k} |f(z)|^{p_1}\, dv_{\alpha_1}(z).$$

From this we get

$$\int_{D_k} |f(w)|\, d\mu(w) \lesssim \frac{1}{(1-|a_k|^2)^{(n+1+\alpha_1)/p_1}} \left(\int_{\tilde{D}_k} |f(z)|^{p_1}\, dv_{\alpha_1}(z) \right)^{1/p_1} \mu(D_k).$$

Since $0 < p_2 < 1$, this implies

$$|T^\beta_\mu f(z)|^{p_2} \lesssim \sum_{k=1}^\infty \frac{1}{|1-\langle z,a_k\rangle|^{(n+1+\beta)p_2}} \frac{\mu(D_k)^{p_2}}{(1-|a_k|^2)^{(n+1+\alpha_1)\frac{p_2}{p_1}}} \left(\int_{\tilde{D}_k} |f(z)|^{p_1}\, dv_{\alpha_1}(z) \right)^{\frac{p_2}{p_1}}.$$

Therefore, since $(n+1+\beta)p_2 > n+1+\alpha_2$, we can apply Lemma B to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\|T^\beta_\mu f\|^{p_2}_{p_2,\alpha_2} &\lesssim \sum_{k=1}^\infty \frac{\mu(D_k)^{p_2}}{(1-|a_k|^2)^{(n+1+\alpha_1)p_2/p_1}} \left(\int_{\tilde{D}_k} |f(z)|^{p_1}\, dv_{\alpha_1}(z)\right)^{p_2/p_1} \\ &\qquad \times \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{(1-|z|^2)^{\alpha_2}\, dv(z)}{|1-\langle z,a_k\rangle|^{(n+1+\beta)p_2}} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{k=1}^\infty \frac{\mu(D_k)^{p_2}}{(1-|a_k|^2)^{(n+1+\alpha_1)p_2/p_1}} \left(\int_{\tilde{D}_k} |f(z)|^{p_1}\, dv_{\alpha_1}(z)\right)^{p_2/p_1} \\ &\qquad \times (1-|a_k|^2)^{n+1+\alpha_2-(n+1+\beta)p_2}.\end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

First assume that $\lambda \geq 1$. Since μ is a (λ,γ)-Bergman Carleson measure, by Theorem A we get

$$\mu(D_k) \lesssim \|\mu\|_{\lambda,\gamma}\, (1-|a_k|^2)^{(n+1+\gamma)\lambda}.$$

Bearing in mind (3.6), this together with (3.9) and the fact that $p_2 \geq p_1$ (due to the assumption $\lambda \geq 1$), yields

$$\begin{aligned}\|T^\beta_\mu f\|^{p_2}_{p_2,\alpha_2} &\lesssim \|\mu\|^{p_2}_{\lambda,\gamma} \sum_{k=1}^\infty \left(\int_{\tilde{D}_k} |f(z)|^{p_1}\, dv_{\alpha_1}(z)\right)^{p_2/p_1} \\ &\lesssim \|\mu\|^{p_2}_{\lambda,\gamma} \left(\sum_{k=1}^\infty \int_{\tilde{D}_k} |f(z)|^{p_1}\, dv_{\alpha_1}(z)\right)^{p_2/p_1} \lesssim \|\mu\|^{p_2}_{\lambda,\gamma} \cdot \|f\|^{p_2}_{p_1,\alpha_1}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence T^β_μ is bounded from $A^{p_1}_{\alpha_1}$ to $A^{p_2}_{\alpha_2}$ with $\|T^\beta_\mu\| \lesssim \|\mu\|_{\lambda,\gamma}$.

Next assume that $0 < \lambda < 1$. Then $p_1 > p_2$, and using Hölder's inequality in (3.9) we get

$$\begin{aligned}\|T^\beta_\mu f\|^{p_2}_{p_2,\alpha_2} &\lesssim \sum_{k=1}^\infty \frac{\mu(D_k)^{p_2}}{(1-|a_k|^2)^{(n+1+\gamma)\lambda p_2}} \left(\int_{\tilde{D}_k} |f(z)|^{p_1}\, dv_{\alpha_1}(z)\right)^{p_2/p_1} \\ &\leq \left\{\sum_{k=1}^\infty \left[\frac{\mu(D_k)^{p_2}}{(1-|a_k|^2)^{(n+1+\gamma)\lambda p_2}}\right]^{p_1/(p_1-p_2)}\right\}^{1-p_2/p_1} \\ &\qquad \times \left(\sum_{k=1}^\infty \int_{\tilde{D}_k} |f(z)|^{p_1}\, dv_{\alpha_1}(z)\right)^{p_2/p_1}.\end{aligned}$$

Since μ is a (λ,γ)-Bergman Carleson measure, by Theorem B we get that

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{k=1}^\infty \left[\frac{\mu(D_k)^{p_2}}{(1-|a_k|^2)^{(n+1+\gamma)\lambda p_2}}\right]^{p_1/(p_1-p_2)} &= \sum_{k=1}^\infty \left[\frac{\mu(D_k)}{(1-|a_k|^2)^{(n+1+\gamma)\lambda}}\right]^{1/(1-\lambda)} \\ &\lesssim \|\mu\|^{1/(1-\lambda)}_{\lambda,\gamma} = \|\mu\|^{p_1p_2/(p_1-p_2)}_{\lambda,\gamma},\end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\|T^\beta_\mu f\|^{p_2}_{p_2,\alpha_2} \lesssim \|\mu\|^{p_2}_{\lambda,\gamma} \left(\sum_{k=1}^\infty \int_{\tilde{D}_k} |f(z)|^{p_1}\, dv_{\alpha_1}(z)\right)^{p_2/p_1} \lesssim \|\mu\|^{p_2}_{\lambda,\gamma} \cdot \|f\|^{p_2}_{p_1,\alpha_1}.$$

Hence T^β_μ is bounded from $A^{p_1}_{\alpha_1}$ to $A^{p_2}_{\alpha_2}$ with $\|T^\beta_\mu\| \lesssim \|\mu\|_{\lambda,\gamma}$. The proof is complete.

3.2. **A key lemma.** Now, we are going to use the result just proved on Toeplitz operators to obtain the following technical result that will be the key for the proof of the remaining part in Theorem 1.1.

Lemma 3.3. *Let μ be a positive Borel measure on the unit ball $\mathbb{B}_n$. For $s, r > 0$ and $\alpha_1 > -1$, let*

$$S^r_{\mu,\alpha_1} f(z) = (1-|z|^2)^s \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{|f(w)|^r \, d\mu(w)}{|1-\langle z,w\rangle|^{n+1+s+\alpha_1}}.$$

For $q > 1$, $p > 0$ and $\alpha_2 > -1$, let

$$\lambda = 1 + \frac{r}{p} - \frac{1}{q}, \quad \textit{and} \quad \gamma = \frac{1}{\lambda}\Big(\alpha_1 + \frac{\alpha_2 r}{p} - \frac{\alpha_1}{q}\Big). \tag{3.10}$$

The following conditions are equivalent:

(a) *μ is a (λ,γ)-Bergman Carleson measure.*
(b) *There is a positive constant K such that $\|S^r_{\mu,\alpha_1} f\|_{q,\alpha_1} \le K \|f\|^r_{p,\alpha_2}$ for $f \in A^p_{\alpha_2}$.*

Moreover, one has $\|\mu\|_{\lambda,\gamma} \asymp K$.

Proof. Suppose first that μ is a (λ,γ)-Bergman Carleson measure. Consider a lattice $\{a_j\}$ and its associated sets $\{D_j\}$. Since $|1-\langle z,w\rangle|$ is comparable with $|1-\langle z,a_j\rangle|$ for w in D_j, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |S^r_{\mu,\alpha_1} f(z)| &\lesssim (1-|z|^2)^s \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{D_j} \frac{|f(w)|^r \, d\mu(w)}{|1-\langle z,w\rangle|^{n+1+s+\alpha_1}} \\ &\asymp (1-|z|^2)^s \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|1-\langle z,a_j\rangle|^{n+1+s+\alpha_1}} \int_{D_j} |f(w)|^r \, d\mu(w). \end{aligned}$$

Using the notation

$$|\widehat{f}(a_j)| := \left(\frac{1}{(1-|a_j|^2)^{n+1+\alpha_2}} \int_{\tilde{D}_j} |f(\zeta)|^p \, dv_{\alpha_2}(\zeta) \right)^{1/p}$$

we have

$$|f(w)|^r \lesssim |\widehat{f}(a_j)|^r \quad w \in D_j.$$

This gives

$$|S^r_{\mu,\alpha_1} f(z)|^q \lesssim (1-|z|^2)^{sq} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\widehat{f}(a_j)|^r \, \mu(D_j)}{|1-\langle z,a_j\rangle|^{n+1+s+\alpha_1}} \right)^q.$$

Now, pick $\varepsilon > 0$ so that $\alpha_1 - \varepsilon \max(q,q') > -1$, with q' being the conjugate exponent of q, that is, $1/q + 1/q' = 1$. By Hölder's inequality with exponent $q > 1$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\widehat{f}(a_j)|^r \, \mu(D_j)}{|1-\langle z,a_j\rangle|^{n+1+s+\alpha_1}} \right)^q &\le \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1-|a_j|^2)^{n+1+\alpha_1-\varepsilon q'}}{|1-\langle z,a_j\rangle|^{n+1+s+\alpha_1}} \right)^{q-1} \\ &\quad \times \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\widehat{f}(a_j)|^{rq} \, \mu(D_j)^q \, (1-|a_j|^2)^{(n+1+\alpha_1)(1-q)+\varepsilon q}}{|1-\langle z,a_j\rangle|^{n+1+s+\alpha_1}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since the sequence $\{a_j\}$ is separated and $n+1+\alpha_1-\varepsilon q'>n$, using Lemma C we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\frac{(1-|a_j|^2)^{n+1+\alpha_1-\varepsilon q'}}{|1-\langle z,a_j\rangle|^{n+1+s+\alpha_1}}\lesssim(1-|z|^2)^{-s-\varepsilon q'},$$

and therefore

$$|S^r_{\mu,\alpha_1}f(z)|^q\lesssim(1-|z|^2)^{s-\varepsilon q}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\frac{|\widehat{f}(a_j)|^{rq}\,\mu(D_j)^q\,(1-|a_j|^2)^{(n+1+\alpha_1)(1-q)+\varepsilon q}}{|1-\langle z,a_j\rangle|^{n+1+s+\alpha_1}}\right).$$

This together with the typical integral estimate in Lemma B gives

$$\begin{aligned}\|S^r_{\mu,\alpha_1}f\|^q_{q,\alpha_1}&\lesssim\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}|\widehat{f}(a_j)|^{rq}\,\mu(D_j)^q\,(1-|a_j|^2)^{(n+1+\alpha_1)(1-q)+\varepsilon q}\\&\quad\times\int_{\mathbb{B}_n}\frac{(1-|z|^2)^{s+\alpha_1-\varepsilon q}}{|1-\langle z,a_j\rangle|^{n+1+s+\alpha_1}}dv(z)\\&\lesssim\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}|\widehat{f}(a_j)|^{rq}\,\mu(D_j)^q\,(1-|a_j|^2)^{(n+1+\alpha_1)(1-q)}.\end{aligned}$$

If $\lambda\geq1$, then $\mu(D_j)\lesssim\|\mu\|_{\lambda,\gamma}(1-|a_j|^2)^{(n+1+\gamma)\lambda}$ due to Theorem A. Moreover, the condition $\lambda\geq1$ also implies $p/rq\leq1$, and therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|S^r_{\mu,\alpha_1}f\|^q_{q,\alpha_1}&\lesssim\|\mu\|^q_{\lambda,\gamma}\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}|\widehat{f}(a_j)|^{rq}\,(1-|a_j|^2)^{(n+1+\alpha_2)rq/p}\\&\leq\|\mu\|^q_{\lambda,\gamma}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}|\widehat{f}(a_j)|^p(1-|a_j|^2)^{n+1+\alpha_2}\right)^{\frac{rq}{p}}.\end{aligned}$$

If $0<\lambda<1$ we use Hölder's inequality with exponent $p/rq>1$. Observe that the conjugate exponent of $p/(rq)$ is

$$\frac{p/(rq)}{p/(rq)-1}=\frac{p}{p-rq}=\frac{1}{q(1-\lambda)}.$$

We obtain, after an application of Theorem B,

$$\begin{aligned}\|S^r_{\mu,\alpha_1}f\|^q_{q,\alpha_1}&\lesssim\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}|\widehat{f}(a_j)|^p(1-|a_j|^2)^{n+1+\alpha_2}\right)^{\frac{rq}{p}}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{\mu(D_j)}{(1-|a_j|^2)^{(n+1+\gamma)\lambda}}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\lambda}}\right)^{q(1-\lambda)}\\&\lesssim\|\mu\|^q_{\lambda,\gamma}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}|\widehat{f}(a_j)|^p(1-|a_j|^2)^{n+1+\alpha_2}\right)^{\frac{rq}{p}}.\end{aligned}$$

Finally, in both cases, we obtain the inequality in part (b) after noticing that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}|\widehat{f}(a_j)|^p(1-|a_j|^2)^{n+1+\alpha_2}\lesssim\|f\|^p_{p,\alpha_2}.$$

Conversely, assume that (b) holds. We want to show that μ is a (λ,γ)-Bergman Carleson measure. We split the proof in two cases.

If $\lambda\geq1$, for each $a\in\mathbb{B}_n$, consider the functions

$$f_a(z)=(1-\langle z,a\rangle)^{-\sigma}$$

with σ big enough, that is, with $p\sigma > n+1+\alpha_2$. By Lemma B we have

$$\|f_a\|_{p,\alpha_2}^p = \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{dv_{\alpha_2}(z)}{|1-\langle z,a\rangle|^{p\sigma}} \lesssim (1-|a|^2)^{n+1+\alpha_2-p\sigma}.$$

Also, for any $\tau > 0$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{(1-|z|^2)^s |f_a(a)|^r}{|1-\langle z,a\rangle|^{n+1+s+\alpha_1}}\mu(D(a,\tau)) &\lesssim (1-|z|^2)^s \int_{D(a,\tau)} \frac{|f_a(w)|^r\, d\mu(w)}{|1-\langle z,w\rangle|^{n+1+s+\alpha_1}} \\ &\le S^r_{\mu,\alpha_1} f_a(z).\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, since $v_{\alpha_1}(D(a,\tau)) \asymp (1-|a|^2)^{n+1+\alpha_1}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}(1-|a|^2)^{(n+1+\alpha_1)(1-q)} &\lesssim \int_{D(a,\tau)} \left(\frac{(1-|z|^2)^s}{|1-\langle z,a\rangle|^{n+1+s+\alpha_1}}\right)^q dv_{\alpha_1}(z) \\ &\le \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \left(\frac{(1-|z|^2)^s}{|1-\langle z,a\rangle|^{n+1+s+\alpha_1}}\right)^q dv_{\alpha_1}(z).\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}(1-|a|^2)^{(n+1+\alpha_1)(1-q)}\, |f_a(a)|^{rq}\, \mu(D(a,\tau))^q &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} S^r_{\mu,\alpha_1} f_a(z)^q\, dv_{\alpha_1}(z) \\ &\le K^q \|f_a\|^{rq}_{p,\alpha_2} \lesssim K^q \Big((1-|a|^2)^{n+1+\alpha_2-p\sigma}\Big)^{rq/p}.\end{aligned}$$

This gives

$$\begin{aligned}\mu(D(a,\tau)) &\lesssim K\,(1-|a|^2)^{(n+1+\alpha_1)\frac{(q-1)}{q}}\,(1-|a|^2)^{(n+1+\alpha_2)r/p} \\ &= K\,(1-|a|^2)^{(n+1+\gamma)\lambda}.\end{aligned}$$

By Theorem A it follows that μ is a (λ,γ)-Bergman Carleson measure with $\|\mu\|_{\lambda,\gamma} \lesssim K$.

For $0 < \lambda < 1$, we split the proof in several cases.

Case $r = 1$: In that case, the condition easily implies that the Toeplitz operator $T^\beta_\mu : A^p_{\alpha_2} \to A^q_\sigma$ is bounded, with

$$\beta = s + \alpha_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma = \alpha_1 + sq.$$

Therefore, part (a) is an immediate consequence of Theorem 1.2.

Case $r > 1$: We want to show that μ is a (λ,γ)-Bergman Carleson measure, or equivalently, that $B_{s,\gamma}(\mu)$ belongs to $L^{1/(1-\lambda),\gamma}$. By Theorem B and the result on Toeplitz operators (Theorem 1.2), we have

$$\|B_{s,\gamma}(\mu)\|_{\frac{1}{1-\lambda},\gamma} \le C\|T^\beta_\mu\|_{A^p_{\alpha_2}\to A^t_\sigma} \tag{3.11}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}\beta &= s + \frac{\alpha_1}{r} + \frac{\gamma(r-1)}{r} \\ t &= \frac{1}{1-\lambda+\frac{1}{p}}\end{aligned}$$

and σ is determined by the relation

$$\gamma = \frac{1}{\lambda}\left(\beta + \frac{\alpha_2}{p} - \frac{\sigma}{t}\right).$$

Assume first that μ has compact support on $\mathbb{B}_n$. By Hölder's inequality,

$$|T_\mu^\beta f(z)|^t \le \left(\int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{|f(w)|^r\, d\mu(w)}{|1-\langle z,w\rangle|^{n+1+s+\alpha_1}}\right)^{\frac{t}{r}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{d\mu(w)}{|1-\langle z,w\rangle|^{n+1+s+\gamma}}\right)^{\frac{t}{r'}},$$

where $r' = \frac{r}{r-1}$ is the conjugate exponent of r. This yields

$$\|T_\mu^\beta f\|_{t,\sigma}^t \le \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \left(\int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{(1-|z|^2)^s |f(w)|^r d\mu(w)}{|1-\langle z,w\rangle|^{n+1+s+\alpha_1}}\right)^{\frac{t}{r}} (B_{s,\gamma}\mu(z))^{\frac{t}{r'}}\, dv_{\sigma-st}(z).$$

Now, since $\frac{r'}{(1-\lambda)t} > 1$ (because $(1-\lambda)t < 1$) we can apply Hölder's inequality again to obtain

$$\|T_\mu^\beta f\|_{t,\sigma}^t \le \left\|B_{s,\gamma}(\mu)\right\|_{\frac{1}{1-\lambda},\gamma}^{\frac{t}{r'}} \left[\int_{\mathbb{B}_n}\left(\int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{(1-|z|^2)^s |f(w)|^r d\mu(w)}{|1-\langle z,w\rangle|^{n+1+s+\alpha_1}}\right)^q dv_{\gamma+(\sigma-st-\gamma)\eta}(z)\right]^{1/\eta}.$$

Observe that

$$\eta := \left(\frac{r'}{(1-\lambda)\,t}\right)' = \frac{\frac{r'}{(1-\lambda)\,t}}{\frac{r'}{(1-\lambda)\,t}-1} = \frac{r'}{r'-(1-\lambda)\,t} = \frac{r}{r-(1-\lambda)\,t\,(r-1)}$$

and therefore

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{t\eta}{r} &= \frac{t}{r-(1-\lambda)\,t\,(r-1)} = \frac{1}{\frac{r}{t}-(1-\lambda)(r-1)}\\ &= \frac{1}{r(1-\lambda+\frac{1}{p})-(1-\lambda)(r-1)} = \frac{1}{\frac{r}{p}+1-\lambda} = q.\end{aligned}$$

After some long and tedious but elementary computations it is possible to check that

$$\gamma + (\sigma - st - \gamma)\eta = \alpha_1. \tag{3.12}$$

Indeed, since $1-\eta = \frac{-(1-\lambda)t(r-1)}{r-(1-\lambda)t(r-1)}$, the identity (3.12) is equivalent to

$$-\gamma(1-\lambda)t(r-1) + \sigma r - str = \alpha_1[r-(1-\lambda)t(r-1)].$$

Using that $\sigma = \beta t + \alpha_2 t/p - \gamma\lambda t$ and the expression of β, after some simplifications we see that the previous identity is equivalent to

$$-\gamma\lambda t + \alpha_2\, t\,\frac{r}{p} = \alpha_1 r - \alpha_1 tr(1-\lambda) - \alpha_1\lambda t.$$

Now, using the expressions of λ, γ given in (3.10), we must check that

$$\frac{\alpha_1 t}{p} = \alpha_1 - \alpha_1 t(1-\lambda).$$

This is obvious if $\alpha_1 = 0$. If $\alpha_1 \neq 0$ this is equivalent to

$$t = \frac{1}{1-\lambda+\frac{1}{p}},$$

and this is our choice of t. Hence (3.12) holds.

Then, by our condition (b) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\|T_\mu^\beta f\|_{t,\sigma}^t &\le \left\|B_{s,\gamma}(\mu)\right\|_{\frac{1}{1-\lambda},\gamma}^{\frac{t}{r'}} \cdot \left\|S_{\mu,\alpha_1}^r f\right\|_{q,\alpha_1}^{\frac{q}{\eta}}\\ &\le K^{q/\eta} \cdot \left\|B_{s,\gamma}(\mu)\right\|_{\frac{1}{1-\lambda},\gamma}^{\frac{t}{r'}} \cdot \|f\|_{p,\alpha_2}^t.\end{aligned}$$

This together with (3.11) gives

$$\|B_{s,\gamma}(\mu)\|_{\frac{1}{1-\lambda},\gamma} \lesssim \|T^\beta_\mu\| \lesssim K^{q/\eta t} \cdot \big\|B_{s,\gamma}(\mu)\big\|^{\frac{1}{r'}}_{\frac{1}{1-\lambda},\gamma},$$

and since $q/\eta t = 1/r$ this implies

$$\|B_{s,\gamma}(\mu)\|_{\frac{1}{1-\lambda},\gamma} \lesssim K$$

proving the result when μ has compact support on $\mathbb{B}_n$. The result for arbitrary μ follows from this by an easy limit argument.

Case $r < 1$: Fix a number $m > 1$ and consider the measure $\widetilde{\mu}$ given by

$$d\widetilde{\mu}(z) = (1-|z|^2)^A \, d\mu(z)$$

with

$$A = (m-r)\frac{(n+1+\alpha_2)}{p}.$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma^* &= \gamma + \tfrac{A}{\lambda} \\ \beta &= s + \frac{\alpha_1}{m} + \frac{\gamma^*(m-1)}{m} \\ t &= \frac{1}{1-\lambda+\frac{1}{p}} \end{aligned}$$

and let σ be determined by the relation

$$\gamma^* = \frac{1}{\lambda}\left(\beta + \frac{\alpha_2}{p} - \frac{\sigma}{t}\right).$$

Again, assume first that μ has compact support on $\mathbb{B}_n$. Obviously, then the measure $\widetilde{\mu}$ has also compact support. By Theorem 1.2 applied to the Toeplitz operator $T^\beta_{\widetilde{\mu}} : A^p_{\alpha_2} \to A^t_\sigma$ we have

$$\|B_{s,\gamma^*}(\widetilde{\mu})\|_{\frac{1}{1-\lambda},\gamma^*} \le C\|T^\beta_{\widetilde{\mu}}\|_{A^p_{\alpha_2}\to A^t_\sigma}. \tag{3.13}$$

Arguing as in the previous case, we get

$$\|T^\beta_{\widetilde{\mu}} f\|^t_{t,\sigma} \le \big\|B_{s,\gamma^*}(\widetilde{\mu})\big\|^{\frac{t}{m'}}_{\frac{1}{1-\lambda},\gamma^*} \cdot \big\|S^m_{\widetilde{\mu},\alpha_1} f\big\|^{\frac{t}{m}}_{q_1,\alpha_1},$$

where m' denotes the conjugate exponent of m and

$$q_1 = \frac{1}{\frac{m}{p}+1-\lambda}.$$

Since $m > r$ one has $q_1 < (r/p+1-\lambda)^{-1} = q$, and hence

$$\|S^m_{\widetilde{\mu},\alpha_1} f\|_{q_1,\alpha_1} \le \|S^m_{\widetilde{\mu},\alpha_1} f\|_{q,\alpha_1}.$$

Therefore,

$$\|T^\beta_{\widetilde{\mu}} f\|^t_{t,\sigma} \le \big\|B_{s,\gamma^*}(\widetilde{\mu})\big\|^{\frac{t}{m'}}_{\frac{1}{1-\lambda},\gamma^*} \cdot \big\|S^m_{\widetilde{\mu},\alpha_1} f\big\|^{\frac{t}{m}}_{q,\alpha_1}. \tag{3.14}$$

Now, applying the pointwise estimate for $f \in A^p_{\alpha_2}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\|S^m_{\widetilde{\mu},\alpha_1} f\|^q_{q,\alpha_1} &= \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \left((1-|z|^2)^s \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{|f(w)|^r\, |f(w)|^{m-r}}{|1-\langle z,w\rangle|^{n+1+s+\alpha_1}} d\widetilde{\mu}(w) \right)^q dv_{\alpha_1}(z) \\ &\le \|f\|^{q(m-r)}_{p,\alpha_2} \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \left((1-|z|^2)^s \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{|f(w)|^r\, (1-|w|^2)^{A-(m-r)\frac{n+1+\alpha_2}{p}}}{|1-\langle z,w\rangle|^{n+1+s+\alpha_1}}\, d\mu(w) \right)^q dv_{\alpha_1}(z) \\ &= \|f\|^{q(m-r)}_{p,\alpha_2} \cdot \|S^r_{\mu,\alpha_1} f\|^q_{q,\alpha_1}.\end{aligned}$$

Putting this into (3.14) and using the inequality in part (b), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\|T^\beta_{\widetilde{\mu}} f\|_{t,\sigma} &\le \|B_{s,\gamma^*}(\widetilde{\mu})\|^{\frac{1}{m'}}_{\frac{1}{1-\lambda},\gamma^*} \cdot \|f\|^{\frac{(m-r)}{m}}_{p,\alpha_2} \cdot \|S^r_{\mu,\alpha_1} f\|^{1/m}_{q,\alpha_1} \\ &\le K^{1/m} \cdot \|B_{s,\gamma^*}(\widetilde{\mu})\|^{\frac{1}{m'}}_{\frac{1}{1-\lambda},\gamma^*} \cdot \|f\|_{p,\alpha_2}\end{aligned}$$

This together with (3.13) yield

$$\|B_{s,\gamma^*}(\widetilde{\mu})\|_{\frac{1}{1-\lambda},\gamma^*} \lesssim K^{1/m} \cdot \|B_{s,\gamma^*}(\widetilde{\mu})\|^{\frac{1}{m'}}_{\frac{1}{1-\lambda},\gamma^*}$$

which proves that

$$\|B_{s,\gamma^*}(\widetilde{\mu})\|_{\frac{1}{1-\lambda},\gamma^*} \lesssim K \tag{3.15}$$

for μ with compact support on $\mathbb{B}_n$. Then a standard limit argument gives (3.15) for a general positive measure μ.

Now, let $\{a_k\}$ be any lattice in $\mathbb{B}_n$. Since $(n+1+\gamma^*)\lambda - A = (n+1+\gamma)\lambda$, applying Theorem B it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\|\mu\|^{1/(1-\lambda)}_{\lambda,\gamma} &\lesssim \sum_k \left(\frac{\mu(D_k)}{(1-|a_k|)^{(n+1+\gamma^*)\lambda - A}} \right)^{\frac{1}{1-\lambda}} \\ &\asymp \sum_k \left(\frac{\widetilde{\mu}(D_k)}{(1-|a_k|)^{(n+1+\gamma^*)\lambda}} \right)^{\frac{1}{1-\lambda}} \\ &\lesssim \|\widetilde{\mu}\|^{1/(1-\lambda)}_{\lambda,\gamma^*} \lesssim \|B_{s,\gamma^*}(\widetilde{\mu})\|^{1/(1-\lambda)}_{\frac{1}{1-\lambda},\gamma^*}.\end{aligned}$$

Then, from (3.15) we have that μ is a (λ,γ)-Bergman Carleson measure with

$$\|\mu\|_{\lambda,\gamma} \lesssim K.$$

The proof is complete. □

3.3. **Proof of Theorem 1.1.** The following result together with Proposition 3.2 concludes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Proposition 3.4. *Let $\lambda > 0$. If* (1.2) *holds, then μ is a (λ,γ)-Bergman Carleson measure. Furthermore, $\|\mu\|_{\lambda,\gamma} \lesssim C$, where C is the constant appearing in* (1.2)*.*

Proof. Assume first that $\lambda \ge 1$. Let

$$f_{i,a}(z) = \frac{(1-|a|^2)^{(n+1+\alpha_i)/p_i}}{(1-\langle z,a\rangle)^{2(n+1+\alpha_i)/p_i}}.$$

Then it can be easily checked that for every $a \in \mathbb{B}_n$ and for all $i = 1, 2, \ldots, k$, $\|f_{i,a}\|_{p_i,\alpha_i} \lesssim 1$. Thus (1.2) implies

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \prod_{i=1}^{k} |f_{i,a}(z)|\, d\mu(z) \le C \prod_{i=1}^{k} \|f_{i,a}\|_{p_i,\alpha_i}^{q_i} = C, \tag{3.16}$$

where C is a positive constant independent of a. An easy computation shows that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} (n+1+\alpha_i)\,\frac{q_i}{p_i} = (n+1+\gamma)\lambda.$$

Thus (3.16) is equivalent to

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{(1-|a|^2)^{(n+1+\gamma)\lambda}}{|1-\langle z,a\rangle|^{2(n+1+\gamma)\lambda}}\, d\mu(z) \le C.$$

Since $\lambda \ge 1$, by Theorem A, we know that μ is a (λ,γ)-Bergman Carleson measure with $\|\mu\|_{\lambda,\gamma} \lesssim C$.

Next, we consider the case $0 < \lambda < 1$. We use induction on k. If $k = 1$ then (1.2) is just the definition of a Bergman Carleson measure. Now, let $k \ge 2$ and assume that the result holds for $k-1$ functions. Set $\lambda_k = \lambda$; $\gamma_k = \gamma$ and

$$\lambda_{k-1} = \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \frac{q_i}{p_i}; \qquad \gamma_{k-1} = \frac{1}{\lambda_{k-1}} \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \frac{\alpha_i q_i}{p_i}.$$

Considering the measure

$$d\mu_k(z) = |f_k(z)|^{q_k}\, d\mu(z)$$

we see that our condition

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \prod_{i=1}^{k} |f_i(z)|^{q_i}\, d\mu(z) \le C \prod_{i=1}^{k} \|f_i\|_{p_i,\alpha_i}^{q_i}$$

is equivalent to the condition

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} |f_i(z)|^{q_i}\, d\mu_k(z) \le C(f_k) \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} \|f_i\|_{p_i,\alpha_i}^{q_i}$$

with $C(f_k) = C \cdot \|f_k\|_{p_k,\alpha_k}^{q_k}$. By induction, this implies that μ_k is a $(\lambda_{k-1},\gamma_{k-1})$-Bergman Carleson measure with $\|\mu_k\|_{\lambda_{k-1},\gamma_{k-1}} \lesssim C(f_k)$. Since $0 < \lambda_{k-1} < \lambda < 1$, then Theorem B implies that $B_{s,\gamma_{k-1}}(\mu_k)$ belongs to $L^{1/(1-\lambda_{k-1}),\gamma_{k-1}}$ for any $s > 0$ with

$$\left\| B_{s,\gamma_{k-1}}(\mu_k) \right\|_{\frac{1}{1-\lambda_{k-1}},\gamma_{k-1}} \lesssim C(f_k).$$

That is, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \left(\int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{(1-|z|^2)^s |f_k(w)|^{q_k} d\mu(w)}{|1-\langle z,w\rangle|^{n+1+s+\gamma_{k-1}}} \right)^{\frac{1}{1-\lambda_{k-1}}} dv_{\gamma_{k-1}}(z) \lesssim \left(C \cdot \|f_k\|_{p_k,\alpha_k}^{q_k} \right)^{\frac{1}{1-\lambda_{k-1}}},$$

or equivalently,

$$\|S_{\mu,\gamma_{k-1}}^{q_k} f_k\|_{\frac{1}{1-\lambda_{k-1}},\gamma_{k-1}} \lesssim C \cdot \|f_k\|_{p_k,\alpha_k}^{q_k}$$

whenever f_k is in $A^{p_k}_{\alpha_k}$. Thus, by Lemma 3.3, the measure μ is an (λ^*, γ^*)-Bergman Carleson measure with $\|\mu\|_{\lambda^*,\gamma^*} \lesssim C$, where

$$\lambda^* = 1 + \frac{q_k}{p_k} - (1 - \lambda_{k-1}), \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma^* = \frac{1}{\lambda^*}\Big(\gamma_{k-1} + \frac{\alpha_k q_k}{p_k} - \gamma_{k-1}(1 - \lambda_{k-1})\Big).$$

Simple algebraic manipulations shows that $\lambda^* = \lambda$ and $\gamma^* = \gamma$ concluding the proof. □

4. Vanishing (λ, γ)-Bergman Carleson measures

We say that μ is a vanishing (λ, α)-Bergman Carleson measure if for any two positive numbers p and q satisfying $q/p = \lambda$ and any sequence $\{f_k\}$ in A^p_α with $\|f_k\|_{p,\alpha} \le 1$ and $f_k(z) \to 0$ uniformly on any compact subset of $\mathbb{B}_n$,

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} |f_k(z)|^q \, d\mu(z) = 0.$$

It is well-known that, for $\lambda \ge 1$, μ is a vanishing (λ, α)-Bergman Carleson measure if and only if

$$\lim_{|a|\to 1} \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{(1 - |a|^2)^t}{|1 - \langle z, a\rangle|^{(n+1+\alpha)\lambda + t}} \, d\mu(z) = 0 \tag{4.1}$$

for some (any) $t > 0$. It is also well-known that, for $0 < \lambda < 1$, μ is a vanishing (λ, α)-Bergman Carleson measure if and only if it is a (λ, α)-Bergman Carleson measure. We refer to [27] for the above facts.

Theorem 4.1. *Let μ be a positive Borel measure on $\mathbb{B}_n$. For any integer $k \ge 1$ and $i = 1, 2, ..., k$, let $0 < p_i, q_i < \infty$ and $-1 < \alpha_i < \infty$. Let*

$$\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{q_i}{p_i}; \qquad \gamma = \frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{\alpha_i q_i}{p_i}.$$

Then the following statements are equivalent.

(i) *μ is a vanishing (λ, γ)-Bergman Carleson measure.*

(ii) *For any sequence $\{f_{1,l}\}$ in the unit ball of $A^{p_1}_{\alpha_1}$ which is convergent to 0 uniformly in compact subsets of $\mathbb{B}_n$,*

$$\lim_{l\to\infty} \sup_{f_i \in A^{p_i}_{\alpha_i}, \|f_i\|_{p_i,\alpha_i} \le 1, i=2,3,\dots,k} \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} |f_{1,l}(z)|^{q_1} |f_2(z)|^{q_2} \cdots |f_k(z)|^{q_k} \, d\mu(z) = 0.$$

(iii) *For any k sequences $\{f_{1,l}\}$, $\{f_{2,l}\}$, ..., $\{f_{k,l}\}$ in the unit balls of $A^{p_1}_{\alpha_1}$, $A^{p_2}_{\alpha_2}$, ..., $A^{p_k}_{\alpha_k}$, respectively, which are all convergent to 0 uniformly in compact subsets of $\mathbb{B}_n$,*

$$\lim_{l\to\infty} \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} |f_{1,l}(z)|^{q_1} |f_{2,l}(z)|^{q_2} \cdots |f_{k,l}(z)|^{q_k} \, d\mu(z) = 0.$$

Proof. By the remark preceding the statement of the Theorem, the case $0 < \lambda < 1$ is just a consequence of Theorem 1.1. So, we assume that $\lambda \ge 1$. Let (i) be true, so μ is a vanishing (λ, γ)-Bergman Carleson measure. Let $\{f_{1,l}\}$ be a sequence in the unit ball of $A^{p_1}_{\alpha_1}$ which is convergent to 0 uniformly in compact subsets of $\mathbb{B}_n$, and let $\{f_i\}$ be arbitrary functions in the unit balls of $A^{p_i}_{\alpha_i}$, $i = 2, 3, ...k$.

Let $\mu_r = \mu|_{\mathbb{B}_n \setminus \overline{D}_r}$, where $D_r = \{z \in \mathbb{B}_n : |z| < r\}$. Then μ_r is also a (λ, γ)-Bergman Carleson measure, and

$$\lim_{r\to 1} \|\mu_r\|_{\lambda,\gamma} = 0.$$

(See, p.130 of [8].) Hence

$$\begin{aligned}(4.2)\qquad &\int_{\mathbb{B}_n\setminus\overline{D}_r} |f_{1,l}(z)|^{q_1}|f_2(z)|^{q_2}\cdots|f_k(z)|^{q_k}\,d\mu(z)\\ &\le \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} |f_{1,l}(z)|^{q_1}|f_2(z)|^{q_2}\cdots|f_k(z)|^{q_k}\,d\mu_r(z)\\ &\le C\|\mu_r\|_{\lambda,\gamma}\le C\varepsilon,\end{aligned}$$

as r sufficiently close to 1. Fix such an r. Since $\{f_{1,l}\}$ converges to 0 uniformly in compact subsets of $\mathbb{B}_n$, there is a constant $K>0$ such that for any $l>K$, $|f_{1,l}(z)|<\varepsilon$ for any $z\in\overline{D}_r$. Therefore, using Theorem 1.1,

$$\begin{aligned}(4.3)\qquad &\int_{\overline{D}_r} |f_{1,l}(z)|^{q_1}|f_2(z)|^{q_2}\cdots|f_k(z)|^{q_k}\,d\mu(z)\\ &\le \varepsilon\int_{\mathbb{B}_n} |f_2(z)|^{q_2}\cdots|f_k(z)|^{q_k}\,d\mu(z)\\ &= \varepsilon\int_{\mathbb{B}_n} |1|^{q_1}|f_2(z)|^{q_2}\cdots|f_k(z)|^{q_k}\,d\mu(z)\\ &\lesssim \varepsilon\|1\|_{p_1,\alpha_1}^{p_1}\|f_2\|_{p_2,\alpha_2}^{p_2}\cdots\|f_k\|_{p_k,\alpha_k}^{p_k}\lesssim\varepsilon,\end{aligned}$$

for any $z\in\overline{D}_r$. Combining (4.2) and (4.3) we get (ii).

It is obvious that (ii) implies (iii). Now let (iii) be true. Let

$$f_{i,a}(z)=\frac{(1-|a|^2)^{(n+1+\alpha_i)/p_i}}{(1-\langle z,a\rangle)^{2(n+1+\alpha_i)/p_i}}.$$

Then, as before, we know that for every $a\in\mathbb{B}_n$ and for all $i=1,2,...,k$, $\|f_{i,a}\|_{p_i,\alpha_i}\lesssim 1$ and it can be easily checked that

$$\lim_{|a|\to 1}|f_{i,a}(z)|=0$$

uniformly on any compact subset of $\mathbb{B}_n$. Thus (iii) implies

$$\lim_{|a|\to 1}\int_{\mathbb{B}_n}\prod_{i=1}^{k}\frac{(1-|a|^2)^{(n+1+\alpha_i)q_i/p_i}}{|1-\langle z,a\rangle|^{2(n+1+\alpha_i)q_i/p_i}}\,d\mu(z)=0.$$

Since $\sum_{i=1}^{k}(n+1+\alpha_i)q_i/p_i=(n+1+\gamma)\lambda$, the above equality is the same as

$$\lim_{|a|\to 1}\int_{\mathbb{B}_n}\frac{(1-|a|^2)^{(n+1+\gamma)\lambda}}{|1-\langle z,a\rangle|^{2(n+1+\gamma)\lambda}}\,d\mu(z)=0.$$

Thus by (4.1), μ is a vanishing (λ,γ)-Bergman Carleson measure. The proof is complete. □

Vanishing Bergman Carleson measures are also useful in order to describe the compactness of Toeplitz operators between weighted Bergman spaces.

Theorem 4.2. *Let μ be a positive Borel measure on $\mathbb{B}_n$, $0<p_1,p_2<\infty$, and $-1<\alpha_1,\alpha_2<\infty$. Let β,λ and γ be as in Theorem 1.2. Then T_μ^β is compact from $A_{\alpha_1}^{p_1}$ to $A_{\alpha_2}^{p_2}$ if and only if μ is a vanishing (λ,γ)-Bergman Carleson measure.*

Proof. If $0<\lambda<1$ then by the remark preceding Theorem 4.1, a vanishing (λ,γ)-Bergman Carleson measure is the same as a (λ,γ)-Bergman Carleson measure. Also, since $0<\lambda\le 1$, then $0<p_2<p_1<\infty$, and therefore the result follows from Theorem 1.2 since in that case T_μ^β is compact from $A_{\alpha_1}^{p_1}$ to $A_{\alpha_2}^{p_2}$ if and only if it is bounded, due to

a general result of Banach space theory: it is known that, for $0 < p_2 < p_1 < \infty$, every bounded operator from ℓ^{p_1} to ℓ^{p_2} is compact (see, for example, [12, Theorem I.2.7, p.31]), and the weighted Bergman space A^p_α is isomorphic to ℓ^p (see [22, Theorem 11, p.89], note that the same proof there works for weighted Bergman spaces on the unit ball $\mathbb{B}_n$).

Next we consider the case $\lambda \geq 1$. If T^β_μ is compact, then $\|T^\beta_\mu f_k\|_{p_2,\alpha_2} \to 0$ for any bounded sequence $\{f_k\}$ in $A^{p_1}_{\alpha_1}$ converging to zero uniformly on compact subsets of $\mathbb{B}_n$. Let $\{a_k\} \subset \mathbb{B}_n$ with $|a_k| \to 1^-$ and consider the functions

$$f_k(z) = \frac{(1-|a_k|^2)^{(n+1+\beta)-(n+1+\alpha_1)/p_1}}{(1-\langle z, a_k\rangle)^{n+1+\beta}}.$$

Due to the conditions on β and Lemma B we have $\sup_k \|f_k\|_{p_1,\alpha_1} < \infty$, and it is obvious that f_k converges to zero uniformly on compact subsets of $\mathbb{B}_n$. Hence, $\|T^\beta_\mu f_k\|_{p_2,\alpha_2} \to 0$. Therefore, proceeding as in the proof of the case $\lambda \geq 1$ of the implication (i) imples (ii) in Theorem 1.2, for any $r > 0$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\mu\big(D(a_k,r)\big)}{(1-|a_k|^2)^{(n+1+\gamma)\lambda}} &\lesssim (1-|a_k|^2)^{(n+1+\beta)+(n+1+\alpha_1)/p_1-(n+1+\gamma)\lambda}\ T^\beta_\mu f_k(a_k)\\ &= (1-|a_k|^2)^{(n+1+\alpha_2)/p_2}\ T^\beta_\mu f_k(a_k)\\ &\lesssim \|T^\beta_\mu f_k\|_{p_2,\alpha_2} \to 0.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, by [27, p. 71], the measure μ is a vanishing (λ,γ)-Bergman Carleson measure.

Conversely, let μ be a vanishing (λ,γ)-Bergman Carleson measure with $\lambda \geq 1$. To prove that T^β_μ is compact, we must show that $\|T^\beta_\mu f_k\|_{p_2,\alpha_2} \to 0$ for any bounded sequence $\{f_k\}$ in $A^{p_1}_{\alpha_1}$ converging to zero uniformly on compact subsets of $\mathbb{B}_n$. If $p_2 > 1$ then, as in the proof of Theorem 1.2, by duality and Theorem 4.1 we have (the numbers p_2' and α_2' are the ones defined by (3.7))

$$\|T^\beta_\mu f_k\|_{p_2,\alpha_2} \asymp \sup_{\|h\|_{p_2',\alpha_2'}\leq 1} \big|\langle h, T^\beta_\mu f_k\rangle_\beta\big| \leq \sup_{\|h\|_{p_2',\alpha_2'}\leq 1} \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} |f_k(z)|\,|h(z)|\,d\mu(z) \to 0.$$

If $0 < p_2 \leq 1$, from the estimates obtained in the proof of (ii) implies (i) in Theorem 1.2 (see (3.9)) it follows that, for any lattice $\{a_j\}$, we have

$$\|T^\beta_\mu f_k\|^{p_2}_{p_2,\alpha_2} \lesssim \sum_{j=1}^\infty \left(\frac{\mu(D_j)}{(1-|a_j|^2)^{(n+1+\gamma)\lambda}}\right)^{p_2} \left(\int_{\tilde{D}_j} |f_k(z)|^{p_1}\,dv_{\alpha_1}(z)\right)^{p_2/p_1}. \tag{4.4}$$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Since μ is a vanishing (λ,γ)-Bergman Carleson measure, due to [27, p. 71], there is $0 < r_0 < 1$ such that

$$\sup_{|a_j|>r_0} \frac{\mu(D_j)}{(1-|a_j|^2)^{(n+1+\gamma)\lambda}} < \varepsilon. \tag{4.5}$$

Split the sum appearing in (4.4) in two parts: one over the points a_j with $|a_j| \leq r_0$, and the other over the points with $|a_j| > r_0$. Since $\{f_k\}$ converges to zero uniformly on compact subsets of $\mathbb{B}_n$, it is clear that the sum over the points a_j with $|a_j| \leq r_0$ (a finite sum) goes to zero as k goes to infinity. On the other hand, by (4.5) and since $p_2 \geq p_1$ (because $\lambda \geq 1$),

we have

$$\sum_{j:|a_j|>r_0}\left(\frac{\mu(D_j)}{(1-|a_j|^2)^{(n+1+\gamma)\lambda}}\right)^{p_2}\left(\int_{\tilde{D}_j}|f_k(z)|^{p_1}\,dv_{\alpha_1}(z)\right)^{p_2/p_1}$$

$$<\varepsilon^{p_2}\sum_{j:|a_j|>r_0}\left(\int_{\tilde{D}_j}|f_k(z)|^{p_1}\,dv_{\alpha_1}(z)\right)^{p_2/p_1}\le\varepsilon^{p_2}\|f_k\|_{p_1,\alpha_1}^{p_2}\le C\varepsilon^{p_2}.$$

Thus $\|T_\mu^\beta f_k\|_{p_2,\alpha_2}\to 0$, finishing the proof. □

5. Applications

As a direct consequence of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 4.1 we have the following result.

Corollary 5.1. *Let μ be a positive Borel measure on $\mathbb{B}_n$. Let $p,q>0$, $s\ge 0$ and $\alpha,\delta>-1$ be given constants such that $q/p+s/(n+1+\delta)\ge 1$. Let*

$$\lambda=\frac{q}{p}+\frac{s}{(n+1+\delta)}\quad\text{and}\quad\gamma=\frac{1}{\lambda}\left(\frac{\alpha q}{p}+\frac{\delta s}{n+1+\delta}\right).$$

Then μ is a (λ,γ)-Bergman Carleson measure if and only if for any $f\in A^p_\alpha$, and for some (any) $t>0$,

$$\sup_{a\in\mathbb{B}_n}\int_{\mathbb{B}_n}|f(z)|^q\frac{(1-|a|^2)^t}{|1-\langle z,a\rangle|^{s+t}}\,d\mu(z)\lesssim\|f\|_{p,\alpha}^q;\tag{5.1}$$

and μ is a vanishing (λ,γ)-Bergman Carleson measure if and only if for some (any) $t>0$, and for any sequence $\{f_k\}$ in A^p_α with $\|f_k\|_{p,\alpha}\le 1$ and $f_k(z)\to 0$ uniformly on any compact subset of $\mathbb{B}_n$,

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}\sup_{a\in\mathbb{B}_n}\int_{\mathbb{B}_n}|f_k(z)|^q\frac{(1-|a|^2)^t}{|1-\langle z,a\rangle|^{s+t}}\,d\mu(z)=0.\tag{5.2}$$

Remark. Note that (5.1) does not depend on δ, which means that, in this corollary, we can choose any real number $\delta>-1$ satisfying $q/p+s/(n+1+\delta)\ge 1$ for λ and γ. Furthermore, if $s=0$ we can also take $t=0$ since then the result reduces to the definition of (vanishing) Bergman Carleson measures.

Proof. We begin with the first part. The case $s=0$ follows directly from the definition of Bergman Carleson measures. So we assume $s>0$. Since $s/(n+1+\delta)>0$, we can choose two positive numbers p_2 and q_2 such that $s/(n+1+\delta)=q_2/p_2$. Then

$$\lambda=\frac{q}{p}+\frac{s}{n+1+\delta}=\frac{q}{p}+\frac{q_2}{p_2}\ge 1,$$

and

$$\gamma=\frac{1}{\lambda}\left(\frac{\alpha q}{p}+\frac{\delta s}{n+1+\delta}\right)=\frac{1}{\lambda}\left(\frac{\alpha q}{p}+\frac{\delta q_2}{p_2}\right).$$

Let μ be an (λ,γ)-Bergman Carleson measure. Then, from the above observation and Theorem 1.1, we know that for any $f\in A^p_\alpha$ and $g\in A^{p_2}_\delta$, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}_n}|f(z)|^q\,|g(z)|^{q_2}\,d\mu(z)\lesssim\|f\|_{p,\alpha}^q\cdot\|g\|_{p_2,\delta}^{q_2}.\tag{5.3}$$

For any $t>0$, let

$$g(z)=g_a(z)=\frac{(1-|a|^2)^{t/q_2}}{(1-\langle z,a\rangle)^{(n+1+\delta)/p_2+t/q_2}}.$$

Using Lemma B it is easy to check that $g_a \in A^{p_2}_\delta$, and $\sup_{a\in\mathbb{B}_n} \|g_a\|_{p_2,\delta} \lesssim 1$. Put $g = g_a$ in equation (5.3), take supremum over all $a \in \mathbb{B}_n$, and we get (5.1).

Conversely, suppose (5.1) holds for some $t > 0$. Given an arbitrary $t_1 > 0$, let

$$f_a(z) = \frac{(1-|a|^2)^{t_1/q}}{(1-\langle z,a\rangle)^{(n+1+\alpha)/p+t_1/q}}.$$

As before, it is easy to check that $f_a \in A^p_\alpha$ and $\|f_a\|_{p,\alpha} \lesssim 1$. It is clear that

$$(n+1+\gamma)\lambda = s + (n+1+\alpha)q/p,$$

and therefore, due to (5.1) we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{(1-|a|^2)^{t+t_1}}{|1-\langle z,a\rangle|^{(n+1+\gamma)\lambda+t+t_1}}\, d\mu(z) = \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} |f_a(z)|^q \frac{(1-|a|^2)^t}{|1-\langle z,a\rangle|^{s+t}}\, d\mu(z) \lesssim 1.$$

Therefore

$$\sup_{a\in\mathbb{B}_n} \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{(1-|a|^2)^{t+t_1}}{|1-\langle z,a\rangle|^{(n+1+\gamma)\lambda+t+t_1}}\, d\mu(z) < \infty,$$

Since $\lambda \geq 1$, by Theorem A, we see that μ is an (λ,γ)-Bergman Carleson measure.

Next we deal with the part concerning vanishing Bergman Carleson measures. If $s = 0$, then the result follows easily from the definition of vanishing Bergman Carleson measures, and so we assume that $s > 0$. If μ is a vanishing (λ,γ)-Bergman Carleson measure then, proceeding as in the first part, but using Theorem 4.1 instead of Theorem 1.1, we obtain (5.2). Conversely, suppose that (5.2) holds for some $t > 0$. Let $\{a_k\} \subset \mathbb{B}_n$ with $|a_k| \to 1$ and, for arbitrary $t_1 > 0$, consider the functions

$$f_k(z) = \frac{(1-|a_k|^2)^{t_1/q}}{(1-\langle z,a_k\rangle)^{(n+1+\alpha)/p+t_1/q}}.$$

Then $\sup_k \|f_k\|_{p,\alpha} \leq C$ and $\{f_k\}$ converges to zero uniformly on compact subsets of $\mathbb{B}_n$, and using (5.2) we see that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{(1-|a_k|^2)^{t+t_1}}{|1-\langle z,a_k\rangle|^{(n+1+\gamma)\lambda+t+t_1}}\, d\mu(z) &= \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} |f_k(z)|^q \frac{(1-|a_k|^2)^t}{|1-\langle z,a_k\rangle|^{s+t}}\, d\mu(z)\\ &\leq \sup_{a\in\mathbb{B}_n} \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} |f_k(z)|^q \frac{(1-|a|^2)^t}{|1-\langle z,a\rangle|^{s+t}}\, d\mu(z) \longrightarrow 0.\end{aligned}$$

Since $\lambda \geq 1$, it follows from (4.1) that μ is a vanishing (λ,γ)-Bergman Carleson measure. The proof is complete. □

5.1. **Applications to extended Cesàro operators.** For $g \in H(\mathbb{B}_n)$, the radial derivative is defined by

$$Rg(z) = \sum_{k=1}^n z_k \frac{\partial g}{\partial z_k}(z),$$

and the extended Cesàro operator is defined by

$$J_g f(z) = \int_0^1 f(tz) Rg(tz)\, \frac{dt}{t}, \qquad f \in H(\mathbb{B}_n).$$

In the case of one variable, the operator is the same as

$$J_g f(z) = \int_0^z f(\xi) g'(\xi)\, d\xi,$$

which is also called the Riemann-Stieltjes operator. The operator J_g was first used by Ch. Pommerenke to characterize BMOA functions on the unit disk. It was first systematically studied by A. Aleman and A. G. Siskasis in [2]. They proved that J_g is bounded on the Hardy space H^p on the unit disk if and only if $g \in BMOA$. Thereafter there have been many works on these operators. See, [1], [3], [11], [19], [20], [21] and [23] for a few examples. Here we are considering boundedness and compactness of these operators from a weighted Bergman space into the general space $F(p,q,s)$ on the unit ball, which is defined as the space of all holomorphic functions f on $\mathbb{B}_n$ such that

$$\|f\|^p_{F(p,q,s)} = \sup_{a\in\mathbb{B}_n} \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} |Rf(z)|^p (1-|z|^2)^q (1-|\varphi_a(z)|^2)^s \, dv(z) < \infty,$$

where $0 < p < \infty$, $-n-1 < q < \infty$, $0 \le s < \infty$, and $q+s > -1$. We also say $f \in F_0(p,q,s)$ if

$$\lim_{|a|\to 1} \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} |Rf(z)|^p (1-|z|^2)^q (1-|\varphi_a(z)|^2)^s \, dv(z) = 0.$$

The family of spaces $F(p,q,s)$ on the unit disk was introduced in [25]. It contains, as special cases, many classical function spaces, such as the analytic Besov spaces, weighted Bergman spaces, Dirichlet spaces, the Bloch space, BMOA and Q_p spaces. See [25] for the details. For $F(p,q,s)$ on the unit ball, we refer to [24].

Here, for our purpose, we point out that if $s > n$, and $\alpha > 0$, then for any $p > 0$, the space $F(p, p\alpha - n - 1, s) = B^\alpha$, the α-Bloch space, which means the space of all functions $f \in H(\mathbb{B}_n)$ such that

$$\|f\|_{B^\alpha} = \sup_{z\in\mathbb{B}_n} |Rf(z)|(1-|z|^2)^\alpha < \infty.$$

When $\alpha = 1$, $B^1 = B$, the classical Bloch space.

For the case of the unit disk, the above result can be found in [25]. For the case of the unit ball $\mathbb{B}_n$, the result may be also known, but we were not able to find a reference, so we provide a brief proof here. First, we show that $F(p,q,s)$ are all subspaces of some α-Bloch space.

Proposition 5.2. *Let $0 < p < \infty$, $-n-1 < q < \infty$, $0 \le s < \infty$, and $q+s > -1$. Then $F(p,q,s) \subseteq B^{(n+1+q)/p}$.*

Proof. Let $f \in F(p,q,s)$. By subharmonicity we have that, for a fixed r, $0 < r < 1$,

$$|Rf(a)|^p \lesssim \frac{1}{(1-|a|^2)^{n+1}} \int_{D(a,r)} |Rf(z)|^p \, dv(z).$$

Let $\alpha = (n+1+q)/p$. Then $q = p\alpha - n - 1$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} |Rf(a)|^p (1-|a|^2)^{p\alpha} &\lesssim \frac{1}{(1-|a|^2)^{n+1-p\alpha}} \int_{D(a,r)} |Rf(z)|^p \, dv(z) \\ &\lesssim \int_{D(a,r)} |Rf(z)|^p (1-|z|^2)^{p\alpha-n-1} \, dv(z) \end{aligned}$$

Since $|1-\langle z,a\rangle| \asymp (1-|z|^2) \asymp (1-|a|^2)$ for $z \in D(a,r)$, we know from (2.1) that $1-|\varphi_a(z)|^2 \asymp 1$ for $z \in D(a,r)$, and so, for $s \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} |Rf(a)|^p(1-|a|^2)^{p\alpha} &\lesssim \int_{D(a,r)} |Rf(z)|^p(1-|z|^2)^{p\alpha-n-1}(1-|\varphi_a(z)|^2)^s\,dv(z) \\ &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} |Rf(z)|^p(1-|z|^2)^{p\alpha-n-1}(1-|\varphi_a(z)|^2)^s\,dv(z). \end{aligned}$$

This clearly implies $F(p,p\alpha-n-1,s) \subseteq B^\alpha$, or $F(p,q,s) \subseteq B^{(n+1+q)/p}$. □

Proposition 5.3. *Let $0<p<\infty$, $-n-1<q<\infty$, $0 \leq s<\infty$, and $q+s>-1$. If $s>n$ then $F(p,q,s)=B^{(n+1+q)/p}$.*

Proof. Let $\alpha=(n+1+q)/p$. The inclusion $F(p,q,s) \subseteq B^\alpha$ has been proved in the previous proposition. Now we are proving the opposite inclusion. Let $f \in B^\alpha$, and assume that $s>n$. Then, by Lemma B

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|^p_{F(p,q,s)} &= \sup_{a\in\mathbb{B}_n} \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} |Rf(z)|^p(1-|z|^2)^{p\alpha-n-1}(1-|\varphi_a(z)|^2)^s\,dv(z) \\ &\leq \|f\|^p_{B^\alpha} \sup_{a\in\mathbb{B}_n} \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} (1-|z|^2)^{-n-1}(1-|\varphi_a(z)|^2)^s\,dv(z) \\ &\lesssim \|f\|^p_{B^\alpha} \sup_{a\in\mathbb{B}_n} (1-|a|^2)^s \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{(1-|z|^2)^{s-n-1}}{|1-\langle z,a\rangle|^{2s}}\,dv(z) \\ &\lesssim \|f\|^p_{B^\alpha}, \end{aligned}$$

and so $f \in F(p,q,s)$. The proof is complete. □

In a similar way we can prove that, under the same restrictions of the parameters, $F_0(p,q,s) \subseteq B_0^{(n+1+q)/p}$; and $F_0(p,q,s)=B_0^{(n+1+q)/p}$ if $s>n$, where, for $\alpha>0$, B_0^α is the closed subspace of B^α which consists of functions $f \in H(\mathbb{B}_n)$ such that

$$\lim_{|z|\to 1} |Rf(z)|(1-|z|^2)^\alpha = 0,$$

and is called the little α-Bloch space. We will frequently use the following well-known result [28, Exercise 7.7] for the α-Bloch space: for $\alpha>1$, an analytic function $f \in B^\alpha$ if and only if

$$\sup_{z\in\mathbb{B}_n} |f(z)|(1-|z|^2)^{\alpha-1} < \infty,$$

and the norm of f in B^α is

$$|f(0)| + \|f\|_{B^\alpha} \asymp \sup_{z\in\mathbb{B}_n} |f(z)|(1-|z|^2)^{\alpha-1}, \qquad \alpha>1. \tag{5.4}$$

Theorem 5.4. *Let $0<p,t,\alpha<\infty$, $-1<\beta<\infty$, $0 \leq s<\infty$, with $p\beta+s>n$. Let $g \in H(\mathbb{B}_n)$ and suppose $\beta-(n+1+\alpha)/t>0$ and $p/t+s/(n+1+\delta) \geq 1$ for some $\delta>-1$. Then*

(a) *J_g is a bounded operator from A^t_α into $F(p,p\beta-n-1,s)$ if and only if $g \in B^{\beta-(n+1+\alpha)/t}$;*

(b) *J_g is a compact operator from A^t_α into $F(p,p\beta-n-1,s)$ if and only if $g \in B_0^{\beta-(n+1+\alpha)/t}$.*

Proof. An easy computation shows that $R(J_g f) = fRg$. By definition, J_g is bounded from A^t_α into $F(p, p\beta - n - 1, s)$ if and only if for any $f \in A^t_\alpha$

$$\begin{aligned}
\|J_g f\|^p_{F(p,p\beta-n-1,s)} &= \sup_{a\in\mathbb{B}_n} \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} |f(z)|^p |Rg(z)|^p (1-|z|^2)^{p\beta-n-1}(1-|\varphi_a(z)|^2)^s \, dv(z) \\
&= \sup_{a\in\mathbb{B}_n} \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} |f(z)|^p |Rg(z)|^p (1-|z|^2)^{s+p\beta-n-1} \frac{(1-|a|^2)^s}{|1-\langle z,a\rangle|^{2s}} \, dv(z) \\
&= \sup_{a\in\mathbb{B}_n} \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} |f(z)|^p \frac{(1-|a|^2)^s}{|1-\langle z,a\rangle|^{2s}} \, d\mu_g(z) \\
&\le C\|f\|^p_{t,\alpha},
\end{aligned}$$

where $d\mu_g(z) = |Rg(z)|^p(1-|z|^2)^{s+p\beta-n-1}\, dv(z)$. By Corollary 5.1, this is equivalent to that μ_g is an (λ,γ)-Bergman Carleson measure, where

$$\lambda = \frac{p}{t} + \frac{s}{n+1+\delta}, \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma = \frac{1}{\lambda}\left(\frac{\alpha p}{t} + \frac{\delta s}{n+1+\delta}\right).$$

Then, by the condition in the theorem, $\lambda \ge 1$ and, it is easy to check that $\gamma > -1$. Thus, by Theorem A, the boundedness of J_g is equivalent to

$$\sup_{a\in\mathbb{B}_n} \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{(1-|a|^2)^{(n+1+\gamma)\lambda}}{|1-\langle z,a\rangle|^{2(n+1+\gamma)\lambda}} |Rg(z)|^p (1-|z|^2)^{s+p\beta-n-1}\, dv(z) < \infty. \tag{5.5}$$

An easy computation shows that

$$(n+1+\gamma)\lambda = s + (n+1+\alpha)p/t,$$

and (5.5) becomes

$$\sup_{a\in\mathbb{B}_n} \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{(1-|a|^2)^{s+(n+1+\alpha)p/t}}{|1-\langle z,a\rangle|^{2(s+(n+1+\alpha)p/t)}} |Rg(z)|^p (1-|z|^2)^{s+p\beta-n-1}\, dv(z) < \infty,$$

which is the same as

$$\sup_{a\in\mathbb{B}_n} \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} |Rg(z)|^p (1-|z|^2)^{p(\beta-(n+1+\alpha)/t)-n-1}(1-|\varphi_a(z)|^2)^{s+(n+1+\alpha)p/t}\, dv(z) < \infty.$$

Thus the operator J_g is bounded from A^t_α into $F(p, p\beta - n - 1, s)$ if and only if

$$g \in F\Big(p, q, s + (n+1+\alpha)p/t\Big) \tag{5.6}$$

with

$$q = p(\beta - (n+1+\alpha)/t) - n - 1.$$

Since $\lambda \ge 1$ and $\gamma > -1$, we know that

$$s + (n+1+\alpha)p/t = (n+1+\gamma)\lambda \ge n+1+\gamma > n,$$

and so, by Proposition 5.3, condition (5.6) is equivalent to $g \in B^{\beta-(n+1+\alpha)/t}$ which proves part (a).

Using the second part of Corollary 5.1, the criterion for the compactness in part (b) is proved in the same way. We omit the details. The proof is complete. □

Our next result is for the integral operator

$$I_g f(z) = \int_0^1 Rf(tz)\, g(tz)\, \frac{dt}{t}.$$

This operator can be considered as a companion of the operator J_g.

Theorem 5.5. *Let $0 < p, t, \beta < \infty$, $-1 < \alpha < \infty$, $0 \le s < \infty$ with $p\beta + s > n$. Let $g \in H(\mathbb{B}_n)$ and suppose $p/t + s/(n+1+\delta) \ge 1$ for some $\delta > -1$. Then I_g is a bounded operator from A^t_α into $F(p, p\beta - n - 1, s)$ if and only if*

(i) $g \in B^{\beta-(n+1+\alpha)/t}$ *for* $\beta > 1 + (n+1+\alpha)/t$;
(ii) $g \in H^\infty$ *for* $\beta = 1 + (n+1+\alpha)/t$;
(iii) $g \equiv 0$ *for* $0 < \beta < 1 + (n+1+\alpha)/t$.

Proof. Assume that (i)-(iii) hold. First, we consider case (i), that is, when $\beta > 1+(n+1+\alpha)/t$. Let $g \in B^{\beta-(n+1+\alpha)/t}$. An easy computation shows that $R(I_g f) = gRf$. Hence, due to (5.4), for any $f \in A^t_\alpha$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\|I_g f\|^p_{F(p,p\beta-n-1,s)} Case \\ &\quad = \sup_{a\in\mathbb{B}_n} \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} |g(z)|^p \, |Rf(z)|^p \, (1-|z|^2)^{p\beta-n-1}(1-|\varphi_a(z)|^2)^s \, dv(z) \\ &\quad = \sup_{a\in\mathbb{B}_n} \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} |g(z)|^p \, |Rf(z)|^p \, (1-|z|^2)^{s+p\beta-n-1} \frac{(1-|a|^2)^s}{|1-\langle z,a\rangle|^{2s}} \, dv(z) \\ &\quad \le \|g\|^p_{B^{\beta-(n+1+\alpha)/t}} \sup_{a\in\mathbb{B}_n} \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} |Rf(z)|^p \frac{(1-|a|^2)^s}{|1-\langle z,a\rangle|^{2s}} \, d\mu(z). \end{aligned} \tag{5.7}$$

where $d\mu(z) = (1-|z|^2)^{(n+1+\alpha)p/t+p+s-n-1} \, dv(z)$. By Lemma B, for any $\eta > 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\sup_{a\in\mathbb{B}_n} \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{(1-|a|^2)^\eta}{|1-\langle z,a\rangle|^{\eta+(n+1+\alpha)p/t+p+s}} \, d\mu(z) \\ &\qquad = \sup_{a\in\mathbb{B}_n} (1-|a|^2)^\eta \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{(1-|z|^2)^{(n+1+\alpha)p/t+p+s-n-1}}{|1-\langle z,a\rangle|^{\eta+(n+1+\alpha)p/t+p+s}} \, dv(z) < \infty. \end{aligned} \tag{5.8}$$

Notice that the application of Lemma B here is correct, since if we let

$$\lambda = \frac{p}{t} + \frac{s}{n+1+\delta}, \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma = \frac{1}{\lambda}\left(\frac{(t+\alpha)p}{t} + \frac{\delta s}{n+1+\delta}\right),$$

then, by the condition in the theorem, $\lambda \ge 1$, and it is easy to check that $\gamma > -1$. Also, an easy computation shows that

$$(n+1+\gamma)\lambda = s + (n+1+\alpha+t)p/t = (n+1+\alpha)p/t + p + s. \tag{5.9}$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} (n+1+\alpha)p/t + p + s - n - 1 &= (n+1+\gamma)\lambda - n - 1 \\ &\ge n + 1 + \gamma - n - 1 = \gamma > -1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, due to (5.9), condition (5.8) means that μ is a (λ, γ)-Bergman Carleson measure, and so by (5.7), Corollary 5.1 and [28, Theorem 2.16] we have that

$$\|I_g f\|^p_{F(p,p\beta-n-1,s)} \lesssim \|g\|^p_{B^{\beta-(n+1+\alpha)/t}} \|Rf\|^p_{t,t+\alpha} \asymp \|g\|^p_{B^{\beta-(n+1+\alpha)/t}} \|f\|^p_{t,\alpha},$$

and so $I_g : A^t_\alpha \to F(p, p\beta - n - 1, s)$ is bounded.

Case (ii) is proved in the exactly same way as the proof for case (i), with $\|g\|_{B^{\beta-(n+1+\alpha)/t}}$ replaced by $\|g\|_{H^\infty}$. Case (iii) is trivial.

Conversely, suppose that $I_g : A^t_\alpha \to F(p, p\beta - n - 1, s)$ is bounded. Then, by Proposition 5.2, the operator $I_g : A^t_\alpha \to B^\beta$ is also bounded. For $\eta > 0$ and $a \in \mathbb{B}_n$, let

$$f_a(z) = \frac{(1-|a|^2)^\eta}{(1-\langle z,a\rangle)^{\eta+(n+1+\alpha)/t}}.$$

It is easy to check that $\sup_{a\in\mathbb{B}_n}\|f_a\|_{t,\alpha}\le C$. An easy computation shows that

$$Rf_a(z)=\frac{1}{\eta+(n+1+\alpha)/t}\,\frac{(1-|a|^2)^\eta}{(1-\langle z,a\rangle)^{\eta+(n+1+\alpha)/t+1}}.$$

Note that $R(I_gf_a)(z)=Rf_a(z)\,g(z)$, and therefore

$$\begin{aligned}(1-|a|^2)^{\beta-(n+1+\alpha)/t-1}|g(a)| &\asymp |Rf_a(a)|\,|g(a)|\,(1-|a|^2)^\beta\\ &\le \sup_{z\in\mathbb{B}_n}|Rf_a(z)|\,|g(z)|\,(1-|z|^2)^\beta\\ &=\|I_gf_a\|_{B^\beta}\le C\,\|I_g\|.\end{aligned}\tag{5.10}$$

This directly gives (ii) and, by the maximum principle, we also obtain (iii). Part (i) follows from (5.4). The proof is complete. □

Similarly, by a standard method, we can prove the following compactness result.

Theorem 5.6. *Let $0<p,t,\beta<\infty$, $-1<\alpha<\infty$, $0\le s<\infty$ with $p\beta+s>n$. Let $g\in H(\mathbb{B}_n)$ and suppose $p/t+s/(n+1+\delta)\ge 1$ for some $\delta>-1$. Then I_g is a compact operator from A^t_α into $F(p,p\beta-n-1,s)$ if and only if*

(i) $g\in B_0^{\beta-(n+1+\alpha)/t}$ *for* $\beta>1+(n+1+\alpha)/t$;
(ii) $g\equiv 0$ *for* $0<\beta\le 1+(n+1+\alpha)/t$.

Proof. If I_g is a compact operator from A^t_α into $F(p,p\beta-n-1,s)$, then $I_g:A^t_\alpha\to B^\beta$ is also compact due to Proposition 5.2. Let $\{a_k\}\subset\mathbb{B}_n$ with $|a_k|\to 1$ and, for $\eta>0$, consider the sequence of holomorphic functions $\{f_k\}$ given by

$$f_k(z)=\frac{(1-|a_k|^2)^\eta}{(1-\langle z,a_k\rangle)^{\eta+(n+1+\alpha)/t}}.$$

As before, $\sup_k\|f_k\|_{t,\alpha}\le C$, and $\{f_k\}$ converges to zero uniformly on compact subsets of $\mathbb{B}_n$. Since I_g is compact, from (5.10) we get

$$(1-|a_k|^2)^{\beta-(n+1+\alpha)/t-1}|g(a_k)|\lesssim\|I_gf_k\|_{B^\beta}\to 0.$$

This gives (ii) by the maximum principle; and also (i) since, as in (5.4), for $\sigma>1$, a function $f\in H(\mathbb{B}_n)$ is in B_0^σ if and only if $\lim_{|z|\to 1^-}(1-|z|^2)^{\sigma-1}|f(z)|=0$.

Conversely, assume that (i) holds, that is, $\beta>1+(n+1+\alpha)/t$ and $g\in B_0^{\beta-(n+1+\alpha)/t}$. Then, given $\varepsilon>0$, there is $0<r_0<1$ such that

$$\sup_{r_0<|z|<1}(1-|z|^2)^{\beta-(n+1+\alpha)/t-1}\,|g(z)|<\varepsilon.\tag{5.11}$$

Let $\{f_k\}$ be a bounded sequence in A^t_α converging to zero uniformly on compact subsets of $\mathbb{B}_n$. From (5.7) we get

$$\|I_gf_k\|^p_{F(p,p\beta-n-1,s)}=I_1(k)+I_2(k),$$

with

$$I_1(k):=\sup_{a\in\mathbb{B}_n}\int_{|z|\le r_0}|g(z)|^p\,|Rf_k(z)|^p\,(1-|z|^2)^{s+p\beta-n-1}\frac{(1-|a|^2)^s}{|1-\langle z,a\rangle|^{2s}}\,dv(z),$$

and

$$I_2(k):=\sup_{a\in\mathbb{B}_n}\int_{r_0<|z|<1}|g(z)|^p\,|Rf_k(z)|^p\,(1-|z|^2)^{s+p\beta-n-1}\frac{(1-|a|^2)^s}{|1-\langle z,a\rangle|^{2s}}\,dv(z).$$

Since $\{Rf_k\}$ also converges to zero uniformly on compact subsets of $\mathbb{B}_n$, there is a positive integer k_0 such that $\sup_{|z|\le r_0}|Rf_k(z)|<\varepsilon$ for $k\ge k_0$. Then, using (5.4), it is easy to see that

$$I_1(k)\le C\varepsilon^p\|g\|^p_{B^{\beta-(n+1+\alpha)/t}}.$$

On the other hand, by (5.11), and arguing as in the proof of Theorem 5.5 we obtain

$$I_2(k)<C\varepsilon^p\,\|f_k\|^p_{t,\alpha}\le C\varepsilon^p.$$

This shows that $\|I_g f_k\|_{F(p,p\beta-n-1,s)}\to 0$ proving that I_g is compact. Since the case (ii) is trivial, the proof is complete. □

5.2. **Pointwise multipliers.** For an holomorphic function g in $\mathbb{B}_n$, the pointwise multiplication operator M_g is defined as follows $M_gf=gf$ for $f\in H(\mathbb{B}_n)$.

Lemma 5.7. *Let $-1<\alpha<\infty$, $0<t,\beta<\infty$, and suppose that $M_g:\ A^t_\alpha\to B^\beta$ is bounded. Then*

(i) $g\in B^{\beta-(n+1+\alpha)/t}$ *if* $\beta>1+(n+1+\alpha)/t$;
(ii) $g\in H^\infty$ *if* $\beta=1+(n+1+\alpha)/t$;
(iii) $g\equiv 0$ *if* $0<\beta<1+(n+1+\alpha)/t$.

Proof. By definition it is easy to see that $B^{\beta_1}\subseteq B^{\beta_2}$ for $\beta_1<\beta_2$. Hence, in case (iii) we may assume that $1<\beta<1+(n+1+\alpha)/t$. For $\eta>0$ and $a\in\mathbb{B}_n$, let

$$f_a(z)=\frac{(1-|a|^2)^\eta}{(1-\langle z,a\rangle)^{\eta+(n+1+\alpha)/t}}.$$

We have seen before that $\{f_a\}$ is uniformly bounded in A^t_α. Since $M_g:\ A^t_\alpha\to B^\beta$ is bounded, we know that

$$\sup_{a\in\mathbb{B}_n}\left(|g(0)f_a(0)|+\|gf_a\|_{B^\beta}\right)\lesssim\sup_{a\in\mathbb{B}_n}\|f_a\|_{t,\alpha}<\infty.$$

However, since $\beta>1$, by (5.4) we get

$$\begin{aligned}|g(0)f_a(0)|+\|gf_a\|_{B^\beta} &= |g(0)|(1-|a|^2)^\eta+\sup_{z\in\mathbb{B}_n}|g(z)||f_a(z)|(1-|z|^2)^{\beta-1}\\ &\ge |g(a)||f_a(a)|(1-|a|^2)^{\beta-1}\\ &= |g(a)|(1-|a|^2)^{\beta-1-(n+1+\alpha)/t}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence we get

$$\sup_{a\in\mathbb{B}_n}|g(a)|(1-|a|^2)^{\beta-1-(n+1+\alpha)/t}<\infty,$$

which gives (i) and (ii), and also gives (iii) by the maximum principle. □

Now we are ready to prove the following characterizations for bounded pointwise multiplication operators from A^t_α to $F(p,q,s)$ spaces.

Theorem 5.8. *Let $0<p,t,\beta<\infty$, $-1<\alpha<\infty$, $0\le s<\infty$ with $p\beta+s>n$. Let $g\in H(\mathbb{B}_n)$ and suppose $p/t+s/(n+1+\delta)\ge 1$ for some $\delta>-1$. Then $M_g:\ A^t_\alpha\to F(p,p\beta-n-1,s)$ is bounded if and only if*

(i) $g\in B^{\beta-(n+1+\alpha)/t}$ *for* $\beta>1+(n+1+\alpha)/t$;
(ii) $g\in H^\infty=H^\infty\cap B$ *for* $\beta=1+(n+1+\alpha)/t$;
(iii) $g\equiv 0$ *for* $0<\beta<1+(n+1+\alpha)/t$.

Proof. Suppose (i)-(iii) hold. Then, by Theorem 5.4 and Theorem 5.5 we know that both J_g and I_g are bounded from A^t_α to $F(p, p\beta - n - 1, s)$. Since

$$R(M_g f) = fRg + gRf = R(I_g f) + R(J_g f),$$

we easily see that M_g is also bounded from A^t_α to $F(p, p\beta - n - 1, s)$.

Conversely, suppose that $M_g : A^t_\alpha \to F(p, p\beta - n - 1, s)$ is bounded. By Proposition 5.2, we know that $M_g : A^t_\alpha \to B^\beta$ is also bounded, and so by Lemma 5.7, we get (i)-(iii) directly. The proof is complete. □

The result on the compactness of the multiplication operator is stated next.

Theorem 5.9. *Let $0 < p, t, \beta < \infty$, $-1 < \alpha < \infty$, $0 \le s < \infty$ with $p\beta + s > n$. Let $g \in H(\mathbb{B}_n)$ and suppose $p/t + s/(n + 1 + \delta) \ge 1$ for some $\delta > -1$. Then $M_g : A^t_\alpha \to F(p, p\beta - n - 1, s)$ is compact if and only if*

(i) $g \in B_0^{\beta-(n+1+\alpha)/t}$ *for* $\beta > 1 + (n + 1 + \alpha)/t$;
(iii) $g \equiv 0$ *for* $0 < \beta \le 1 + (n + 1 + \alpha)/t$.

This follows, using standard arguments, arguing in a similar way as in Theorem 5.8. We omit the proof here.

REFERENCES

[1] A. Aleman and J. A. Cima, *An integral operator on H^p and Hardy's inequality*, J. Anal. Math. 85 (2001), 157–176.
[2] A. Aleman and A. G. Siskakis, *An integral operator on H^p*, Complex Variables 28 (1995), 149–158.
[3] A. Aleman and A. G. Siskakis, *Integration operators on Bergman spaces*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 46 (1997) 337–356.
[4] L. Carleson, *An interpolation problem for bounded analytic functions*, Amer. J. Math. 80 (1958), 921–930.
[5] L. Carleson, *Interpolations by bounded analytic functions and the corona problem*, Ann. of Math. 76 (1962), 547–559.
[6] B. R. Choe, H. Koo and H. Yi, *Positive Toepliz operators between harmonic Bergman spaces*, Potential Anal. 17 (2002), 307–335.
[7] J. Cima and W. Wogen, *A Carleson measure theorem for the Bergman space of the ball*, J. Operator Theory 7 (1982), 157–165.
[8] C. Cowen and B. MacCluer, 'Composition Operators on Spaces of Analytic Functions', CRC Press, Boca Raton, 1995.
[9] P. Duren, 'Theory of H^p Spaces', Academic Press, New York-London 1970. Reprint: Dover, Mineola, New York 2000.
[10] W. Hastings, *A Carleson measure theorem for Bergman spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 52 (1975), 237–241.
[11] Z. Hu, *Extended Cesàro operators on mixed norm spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. *131* (2003) 2171–2179.
[12] J. Lindenstrauss and L. Tzafriri, 'Classical Banach Spaces', Lecture Notes in Math. 338, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1973.
[13] D. H. Luecking, *A technique for characterizing Carleson measures on Bergman spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 87 (1983), 656–660.
[14] D. H. Luecking, *Forward and reverse Carleson inequalities for functions in Bergman spaces and their derivative*, Amer. J. Math. 107 (1985), 85–111.
[15] D. H. Luecking, *Representations and duality in weighted spaces of analytic functions*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 34 (1985), 319–336.
[16] D. H. Luecking, *Trace ideal criteria for Toeplitz operators*, J. Funct. Anal. 73 (1987), 345–368.
[17] D. H. Luecking, *Embedding theorems for spaces of analytic functions via Khinchine's inequality*, Michigan Math. J. 40 (1993), 333–358.
[18] V. Oleinik, *Imbedding theorems for weighted classes of harmonic and analytic functions*, Investigations on linear operators and the theory of functions, V. Zap. Nauc. Sem. Leningrad. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov, (LOMI) 47 (1974), 120–137; translated in: J. Soviet. Math. 9 (1978), 228–243.
[19] J. Pau and J. Á. Peláez, *Volterra type operators on Bergman spaces with exponential weights*, Topics in complex analysis and operator theory, 239–252, Contemp. Math., 561, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2012.

[20] J. Pau and J. Á. Peláez, *Schatten classes of integration operators on Dirichlet spaces*, J. Anal. Math. 120 (2013), 255–289.
[21] A. G. Siskakis and R. Zhao, *A Volterra type operator on spaces of analytic functions*, Function Spaces, Contemp. Math. *232*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1999, 299–311.
[22] P. Wojtaszczyk, 'Banach Spaces for Analysts', Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 25. Cambridge University Press, 1991.
[23] J. Xiao, *Riemann-Stieltjes operators on weighted Bloch and Bergman spaces of the unit ball*, J. London Math. Soc. 70 (2004), 199–214.
[24] X. Zhang, C. He and F. Cao, *The Equivalent Norms of $F(p, q, s)$ Space in $\mathbb{C}^n$*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 401 (2013), 601–610.
[25] R. Zhao, 'On a general family of function spaces', Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math. Dissertationes 105 (1996), 56 pp.
[26] R. Zhao, *New criteria of Carleson measures for Hardy spaces and their applications*, Complex Var. Elliptic Equ. 55 (2010), 633–646.
[27] R. Zhao and K. Zhu, 'Theory of Bergman spaces in the unit ball of $\mathbb{C}^n$', Mem. Soc. Math. Fr. (N.S.) 115 (2008), vi+103 pp.
[28] K. Zhu, 'Spaces of Holomorphic Functions in the Unit Ball', Springer-Verlag, New York, 2005.

JORDI PAU, DEPARTAMENT DE MATEMÀTICA APLICADA I ANALISI, UNIVERSITAT DE BARCELONA, 08007 BARCELONA, SPAIN
E-mail address: jordi.pau@ub.edu

RUHAN ZHAO, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SUNY BROCKPORT, BROCKPORT, NY 14420, USA
E-mail address: rzhao@brockport.edu